12984 de

## GRAMMATICAL INSTITUTE

OF THE

## ENGLISH LANGUAGE;

Comprising an Easy, Concile and Systematic

METHOD of EDUCATION.

Defigned for the Use of ENGLISH SCHOOLS in AMERICA.

IN THREE PARTS.

## PART SECOND.

Containing a Plain and Comprehensive

# GRAMMAR,

Grounded on the true PRINCIPLES and IDIOMS of the LANGUAGE.

BY NOAH WEBSTER, JUN. ESQUIRE.

AUTHOR OF "DISSERTATIONS ON the ENGLISH LANGUAGE," "COBLECTION OF ESSAYS and FUGITIVE
WRITINGS," &c.

THE THIRD CONNECTICUT EDITION

PRINTED at HARTFORD, E: HUDSON AND GOODWIN.

MDCCXCIL.

Has Anghia Grammottices

Festinct & Timotheum Gillet

Winno Domini Ano Milleform

Wongintessimo Nono Die December

Tiginti Veressimon Nono Die December

ADVERTISEMENT.

As this work is designed for general use, the most necessary rules and definitions are given in the text by way of question and answer. These are all that a learner need burden his memory with, till he has made some prosiciency in Grammar. The Notes will be useful for those who wish to become more accurately acquainted with the principles and idioms of the language.

## PREFACE.

THE design of this part of the INSTITUTE is, to furnish schools with a collection of rules or general principles of English Grammar. Within a few years past, many excellent treatises upon this subject have appeared in Great Britain, each of which has some particular merit,

and perhaps each may be liable to some exception.

1

1

It is the business of grammar to inform the student, not how a language might have been originally confiructed, but how it is constructed. Grammarians are too apt to sondemn particular phrases in a language, because they happen not to coincide strictly with certain principles : But we should reflect, that languages are not framed by philosophers. On the contrary, they are spoken long before they are written; and spoken by barbarous nations, for many ages before any improvements are made in science. Hance anomalous phrases creep into a language in its infalicies and become established idioms, in its most refined fate. On this principle we admit these expressions, a few weeks, a great many men, you are, applied to an individual this news is favorable, and many other expressions in our language. On the same principle, neuter plurations, in the Greek tongue, were joined to verbs in the fingular number. This is my reason for admetting fore phrases as good English, which the most respectable corters on this subject have condemned as ungrammatical con-

With respect to some points, I advanted by have changed my opinon, since the publication of the first advanced my opinon, since the publication of the first advanced has been produced by a more difference and vertical investigation of the language particular via the cited authors; by comparing our translation of the New Testingment with the original; and by confusing the biff. La lish writers of the last and present century.

Inclanguage feems not yet to be afcertained When

A a

Loath

Lowth, an Ash, and a Priestly differ from each other in opinion, the curious inquirer has no resource, but to look for satisfaction in the state of the language itself, as it has been exhibited in the best writers, and in general practice. This has been my endeavor, and I have been obliged to differ, in some respects, from the most approved grammarians. Thereasons and authorities on which my opinions are founded, are too numerous to be inserted in this abridgement; most of them may be found in my "Dissertation on the English Language."

I have been so often led into mislakes by the opinions of men, eminent for their literary abilities, that I am scrupulous of embracing any theory, till I have made it a subject of critical examination. I adopt the opinion of Montesquieu—" that nothing retards the progress of the sciences more, than a bad performance of a celebrated author.\*"—And I am satisfied that the best of our trans-atlantic Eng-

En

boo

of

and

bin

gua

and

Tul

of

lish grammars, are inaccurate or defective.

Our verbs and auxiliaries, the most difficult article in the study of our language, are here arranged in a manner entirely new. The Latin division of tenjes, which is commonly followed, appears to me very arbitrary in our language, and rather calculated to mislead the learner, than to give him clear ideas of our verbs. It has been found by experiment, that the language cannot be parsed on the principles of any English grammar that has hitherto appeared in America; and should this be true hereaster, I shall neither be surprised nor mortised. I can only say, that I have attempted to simplify a very complex subject, and shall always feel indebted to the man who shall suggest any improvements.

HARTFORD, August 28, 1790.

<sup>&</sup>quot;Rien ne recule plus le progres des connoissances, qu' un mauvais ouvrage d'un auteur celebre :" And he assigns the reason "parcequ' avant d'instruire, il faut detrumper,"

are or or or or or

A plain and comprehenfive

## GRAMMAR.

### Of GRAMMAR.

WHAT is Grammar?

Grammar is the art of communicating thoughts by words with propriety and dispatch.

What is the use of English Grammar?

To teach the true principles and idioms\* of the English language.

How may language be divided? • Into the writien and spoken language.

Explain the difference.

The written language is presented to the eye, as in books, and consists of certain letters or combinations of visible marks, which, by custom, stand for ideas or notions. The spoken language is addressed to the ear, and consists of certain sounds, either simple or combined, which, by custom, convey ideas or notions.

In what order does the formation of fentences proceed?

Letters are the elements or component parts of language—these form syllables—syllables form words—and words form sentences.

How may words be divided?
Into primitive and compound.

What

Mides of speaking peculiar to the language.

t The language of the passions and emotions is not the

Letters and syllables are the subject of the first part of the Institute.

What is a primitive word?

A word that cannot be separated into parts, each of which shall retain any fense; as, man, hope, good, biefs.

What is a compound word?

A primitive word with the addition of a fyllable or fyllables; as, man-ly, hope-lefs, goodnefs, bleffing.\*

What is the rule for spelling compound words?

In general, the primitive must be kept entire; as, turn-ed, book-ish. But to this rule there are some ex-

ceptions.

1. When the primitive ends with a vowel, and the word added begins with a vowel, the vowel of the primitive is dropped; as, fame, famous; dance, dancing. But e must not be dropped after c and g, before able; as, ferviceable.

Before a consonant, e is not dropped; as, name,

namelefs.t

2. When the primitive ends in y, this letter is changed into i in the dirivative; as, holy, holinefs. Except before i, as, deny, denying.

3. When an accented confonant ends a primitive, the confonant is usually doubled in the derivative; as,

pen, pen-ned.

Into how many classes may words be distributed?
Six: Nouns, Articles, Pronouns, Adjectives, Verbs,
Abbreviations or Particles.

NOUN.

co as,

is

no

me

wi

13

2

al

CI

n

ti

\*I consider all particles and terminations as words; for it is certain that most of them were originally words, and significant. This theory destroys the difference between derivatives and compound words.

t This rule is arbitrary; if e is a mark of the prolonged found of a foregoing vowel in namely, it should be re-

tained for the fame purpose in famous.

This practice is very needless; pen-ned and pen-called

ing pronounced alike.

This distribution of words is new, and requires Hur, tration; but this abridgement is not the place to treat the subject at large. I will observe in general, that the words

white

#### Noun.

Explain the Noun.

A noun is the name of any thing that exists, or that conveys an idea, without the help of any other word; as, pen, paper, power, faith.\*

What is the usual division of nouns?

Into proper and common. What is the difference?

A proper noun is the name of a thing, when there is but one; as, Philadelphia, Missippi. A common noun is the name of a fort or species of things; as, man, book.

In what manner do the English ascertain individuals

with common names?

e

9

t

By the use of two little words a and the, called articles,

Explain the use of each.

The article a, which, before a vowel, becomes an, t is placed before a noun to confine its figurification to

which are denominated adverbs, conjunctions, and prepetitions, are formed the last in the progress of language. They are articles of refinement, rather than of necessity. By recurring to the Saxon and Gothic originals, most at the English particles are found to be appreviations or combinations of nouns, verbs or adjectives. Indeed most adjectives are formed in the same manner from nouns and verbs. See Horne's Diversions of Purley.

\* Children very early in life understand the names of visible objects; as pen, paper—but they make much flower progress in abbreviations which stand for combinations of ideas, and in ideas of immaterial substances. A boy may have a clearer idea of paper, at four years of age, than of thought or faith at sitteen. This shows that children hould be raught sciences as much as possible, by visible spiects.

be written before a pronounced, as a bundred; but an be-

fore 5 mute, as an bour.

an individual thing, but it does not show which of the kind is meant; as a book. † A is called the inde-

finite article.

The is used, when we speak of a thing, or number of things, which are specified and known. It limits the signification of the noun to a particular, or to particulars; as, the commander in chief; the apostles.\* The is called the definite article.

NUMBER.

How many numbers are there in grammar?

Two; the fingular and the plural. The fingular speaks of one; as, a table: The plural of more; as, tables.

How is the plural of nouns formed?

It is regularly formed by adding s or es to the fingu-

lar; as, tree, trees; fox, foxes.

When the fingular ends in ch, sh, so, or x, the plural is formed by adding es; as, church, churches; brush, brushes; glass, glasses; box, boxes.

When the fingular ends in f or fe, the plural is sometimes formed by changing f into v, and adding s; as,

life, lives.t

Nouns

the

dif

19,

a

fne wo

ma

WO

bro

foc

rad

ind

per

chi

too

OX

Sun

nui

abo

alo am

anr

are

affe bou idea non

TO.

The article a is used before plural nouns, preceded by few or many; as, a few men, a great many houses, and also before dozen, hundred, thousand, million, as a dozen eggs.

The is used before nouns in either number, and also before the words more, most, less, least, better, best, in order to mark the sense with more precision. Proper names may become common, by being applied to more individuals than one; and then they admit the articles, and take the plural number; as "a traitor is an Arnold"—" Our general was a Fabius"—" The two Howes"—" The Misses Smiths"—" The Smiths."

t The words of this class are the following:			
life	lives	felf	folves
knife	knives	half	halves
wife	wives	ftaff	flaves
leaf	leaves	loaf	loaves
calf	calves	fheaf	Sheaves

the Let

### of the ENGLISH LANGUAGE.—PART II. 11

Nouns ending in y, preceded by a consonant, form the plural by changing y into ies; as body, bodies.

What is meant by cafe?

Either a difference of ending in a word to express a different relation, or a different position of a word.

What cases are there in English?

The nominative, which usually stands before a verb: as, the boy writes: The poffessive, which takes an s with a comma, and denotes property, as, John's hat: The objective,

fhelf	fhelves .	wharf	wharves
wolf	wolves		
	Irregul	ar plurals.	
man	men	die	dice
woman	women	loufe	lice
brother	f brethren or	goofe	geele
promer	brothers	beau	beaux
focus	foci	criterion	ctiteria s
radius	radii	phenomenon	phenomena
index	findexes or	thefis	rhefes
muck	indices	emphasis	emphales
penny	pence	antithefis	antithefes
child	children	hypothesis	hypotheses
tooth	teeth	feraph	feraphim
ox	oxen	cherub	cherubim
Summon	s is fingular, and	makes its plus	ral regularly,

Summonfes.

cr

There are some nouns which are used only in the plural

number. Such are the following: aborigines compasses **fnuffers** breeches aloes cresses Thears trowfers amends embers thanks matins annals clothes mallows vitals archives entrails filings orgies thes tidings hatches pleiades ffets belles-letters fetters Chambles owel roods tongs iciliars des ungs calends nones' pincers velpers

Others have only a plural termination, but are joined

to verbs in either number, or in the fingular only.

alms

objective, which follows a verb or preposition; as, he bonors virtue, or it is an honor to him.\*

GENDER.

How many genders are there?

Two; masculine, which comprehends all males; and seminine, which comprehends all females.+

How are the different genders expressed?

Sometimes by different words; as, man, woman; brother, fifter; fon, daughter; uncle, aunt, &c. Sometimes by the words male and female, man and maid, prefixed to nouns; as a male-child, a female-orator; a man fervant, a maid-fervant. Sometimes by prefixing he and she; as, a he-goat, a she-goat.

A

billiards alms ethics pains bellows : mathematics news fives gallows riches hyfterics billet-doux odds wages meafles **f**effions physics means victuals

The nouns sheep, deer, fern, hose, are used in both numbers, without a plural termination. Many nouns, from the nature of the things which they express, admit not the plural number. Such are auheat, rye, barley, flour, gold,

Moth, pride, &c.

\* When nouns end in f or es, the comma alone is added; as for goodness' sake; on eagles' avings. This omission

is to prevent the difagreeable histing of the letters.

Sometimes a number of words forms a kind of complex noun, and then the fign of the possessive is added to the last word; as "the King of England's army"—"The King of Pergamus's treasure." In these examples, the whole phrase must be considered as a single noun; for it is not simply a king's army or treasure; but the English or Pergamean king's. This mode of speaking is not escemed elegant; but is well established, and sometimes cannot be avoided.

table world. It leaves to philosophy the fexes of plants, and confiders all things without life as having no fex. Sometimes inanimate substances are spoken of as male or

female.

A few nouns have the feminine in ix; executor, executrix. Hero makes heroine.

But the regular ending of the seminine gender, is es; after, aftress; heir, heiress. \$

PRONOUN.

What is a pronoun?

ho

ind

n:

cc.

ind

le.

nes

CS

m-

the

old,

dd-

ion

lex

last

got

ole

not

er-

ned

not

264

n46.

10 to

2

A small word that stands for a noun—as, "This is a man of worth; treat him with respect." The pronoun him supplies the place of man.

Which are called the Personal Pronouns?

I, thou, he, she; we, ye or you, they. The person speaking calls himself I. 2d. The person spoken to is called thou or you. 3d. The person spoken of, is called, if a male, he—if a semale, she—when a thing is spoken of, it is called it. The plural of I, is we—the plural of thou, is ye or you—the plural of he, she, or it, is they.

H hat

female. We say of a ship, " she is a tast failing vestel." This personification is often striking and ornamental.

The following are most of the nouns, which have a istinct termination for the ferminine.

	ination for the t
Abbot	abbefs
actor	actres
adukerer	adultrefe
ambaffador	ambaffadrefs
baron	barones
prophet	prophetes
Thepherd	fhepherdels.
forcerer	forcereis
tutor	tutores
traitor	traitrefs

benefactor

count

descon duke clostor emperor

governor

prophetes
fhepherdes
forcereis
tutores
traitres
benefactres
countes
deacones
dutches
electres
empres

governels

heir herres peer pecteis priest priestels prince princels poet poetela tyger tygrets fongiter. fonglicels leamfter Teamftrefs viscount viscounteta 1CW jewefs. lion lioneis marquis marchiones

mafter miftrefs
patron patronels
protector protectivefs
executor executrix
teffator teffatiix

administratoradministratrix

### 4 A GRAMMATICAL INSTITUTE

What difference is there in the use of ye and you? Ye is used in the solemn style, you in common discourse: You is also used in familiar language, for thou, which is used principally in addresses to the Deity.\*

How do these pronouns vary in the cases?

Nominative.	Singular. Possessive.	Objective.
1	mine	me
thou or you	thine or yourst	thee or you
he	his	him
the .	hers	her
it	its	it
	Plurgl.	
we	ours	us

ye or you yours you they theirs them.‡

What other words are called pronouns?

My, thy, her, our, your, their, are called pronominal adjectives, because they are joined with nouns. This, that, other, any, some, one, none, are called definitive pronouns, because they limit the signification of the noun to which they refer.

Are any of these varied?

This,

One fet of chritians, the Friends, use then and ye in their original sense. These however have run into great errors on their own principles. They often say, thee does, thee bas, thee gives; which are as erroneous as him bas, her gives. It would be more correct, and the singularity more pleasing, to say, then dost, thou hast, thou gives.

The old Saxon aren is still heard in New England, ourn.
Ourn and yourn are obsolete in books, but are not a corruption. Ours and yours are the most modern words.

The reason why the first and second persons have no distinction of gender in language, is that they are supposed to be present when we speak, and their sex known.

Il None is compounded of no one, and not we often use it as a plural

of the ENGLISH LANGUAGE.—PART II. 13

This, that and other, make in the plural, thefe, those and others.\*

What other pronouns are there in English?

Who, which and what.† These are called relatives, because they relate to some foregoing noun: Except when they ask questions: then they are called intersogatives. What has the sense of that which; except in asking questions.

Have the relatives any variations?

Who is thus varied in the cases—Nom. who—Post.

What name is given to each, every and other?

That of distributives; because they denote a number of particulars, taken separately; as, "There are five boys, each of whom is able to read."

What is the wee of own and felf.

They are added to pronouns, to express an idea with force. Self makes felves in the plural.

ADJECTIVES.

What is an adjective ?

dif-

hou.

nal

us,

tive

nuc

in

eat

ber

ore

zn.

s.

no

Se

A word which expenies fome quality or circum-

\* This and these refer to things present—that and those, to things absent. Others is used only when the noun is omitted—We say all others; but

+ That and as are also used a

perfons-Which, Who and whom are used only to whose and that, refer to things and persons—Which refers not to persons, except in asking questions. These relatives, who, what, &c. were formerly spelt, quha, quhat, &c. They feem to be formed, like the Latin qui, quod, from the Greek, kai o, kai-ati. So that our relatives are abbreviations, and fignify, and he-and that, &c. Should it be objected that the origin of the Saxon or Gothic languages is as semote as that of the Greek; I answer, this may be true; and yer both may be derived from the same common root. The relatives of the English, rubo. whith, what; of the Latin, qui, que, qued; of the French, gai, &c. are evidently derived from the fame flock; and from words equivalent to the Greek kois, koisti. The brench quelles, auho, auhich, is from que-elles, and they.

stance of a noun; as a wife man, a young woman, two men.

Have adjectives any variations ?

Adjectives, which express qualities, capable of being encreased or diminished, are varied to express comparison, thus: Wife, wifer, wifest—cald colder, coldest.

What are the degrees of comparison called?

The positive, comparitive and superlative. The positive expresses the simple quality, as wise, cold—the comparative expresses a quality in a greater or less degree; as wiser, colder, less wise. The superlative expresses a quality in the greatest or least possible degree; as wises, coldest, least wise.

Most adjectives may be compared by more and most less and least; as more generous or less generous,\* &c.

VERB.

A

Ho

Tw

not

iec

fes

W

A

COI

her

WS

H

F

HT

rite

rite

H

T

DW

en

ave

ri

D D

dje

A finall degree of quality is expressed by is, as whilish, redish. A quality in a great degree but not in the greatest, is expressed by very, prefixed to the adjective; as very black.

Adjectives of irregular comparison. good-better-best near-nearer-nearest or next older-oldeft had or evil-worfe-worft fore-former-first oldlittle-less or lesser-least elder-eldest later-lateft many more-most late-0 latter-laft much

Those adjectives which express simple qualities, or qualities inherent in bodies, seem to claim a place among the original parts of speech; as hard, soft, white, &c. But adjectives which convey abstract, complex ideas, or ideas of accidental circumstances, are usually formed by a combination of other words, and may be referred to the class of abbreviations.

Thus the termination less added to the noun number, forms what is called an adjective. But less is from the Saxon verb defan, to dismiss. Numberless is therefore, number district.

The termination ful, which needs no explanation, is added

10

What is a verb?

0

g

S.

S

?

t

Í.

A part of speech, signifying action or being.

How many kinds of verbs arethere?

Two; transitive and intransitive.\* A transitive verb notes some action which passes from an agent to an ject; as, John loves study. Here the action of loving sees from John the agent, to study the object.

What is an intransitive verb?

An intransitive verb expresses action or being, which confined to the agent; as, I run, he lives, they sleep. herefore when the verb is intransitive, no object follows it.

How many things belong to a verb?

Four; persons, number, time and mode.

How many persons are used with verbs?

Three—as in the fingular number, I write, thou ritest, he writes. In the plural, we write, ye or you rite, they write.

How many times or tenfes are there?

Three; present, past and future. An action may be ow doing; as I write, or am writing. The verb is sen said to be in the present tense. An action may ave been done some time ago; as I wrote or have ritten: The verb is then in the past time. When

the

nouns—as wonderful, and this compound is called an diettive.

The termination ly is from the Saxon liche or like; bearenly is heavenliche, foberly foberliche; and fo were thefe

ords written by Chaucer.

This division of words is complete—it is not liable to one exception. The common division into affive, parties and neuter, is very inaccurate. We have no passive orbits the language; and those which are called neuter to mostly affive.

Many verbs are used both transitively and interest occasion requires. "He reads well," is interested event. He reads English well," is transitive; But this apportunity." te definition given above.

B 2

the action is yet to come, the verb is in the future time as I shall or will write.

What is mode in grammar?

The manner of representing action or being. How do the English express time and mode?

Principally by the means of feveral small words called auxiliaries or helpers; viz. do, be, have, shall, will, may, can, should, would, could and must.\*

Which are the modes?

The Infinitive, the Indicative, the Imperative, and the Subjunctive.

Explain them.

The infinitive expresses action or being, without

limitation of person or number; as, to write.

The indicative shows or declares an action or being; as, I write, I am; or some circumstances of action or being; as, I can write, I must sleep; or asks a question; as, Do I write?

The imperative commands, exhorts, or prays; as,

Write, go; do thou grant .

The subjunctive expresses action or being, under Some condition or uncertainty; and is commonly preceded by a particle; as, If I write.

M

Ð

n

4

P

These helping werbs are by some grammarians considered as principal verbs. Doubtless they were all such originally; fome of them are fo now, as do, be, have. To is faid to be the fame originally as do-We preserve to before the radical verb to love; and do makes the prefent and past tenses, de love and did hove. I make a distinction between the verbs-When they stand alone, I call them principal verbs-when prefixed to verbs and participles, I call them anxiliaries. The a ship of the series of the

+ We have no modes in the fenfe that the Romans and Greeks had, viz. formed by different endings of verbs. But the foregoing common distribution of modes, feems Saxon matural, and must render the acquisition of the tan-number disasty. I cannot discard all distinctions of mode, The term of formed by inflections. Our combinations of anses, which are reduceable to rule, and re-

etion.

19

What are participles ?

ne

ords

iall.

and

out

be-

act.

ss a

·as,

der

re-

nfi-

uch

We.

e to

ent

ion

em

es,

md

bs.

ms

an-

de,

of

re.

They are words which are formed from verbs, and have the nature of verbs, nouns, or adjectives.

How do they end?

In d, t, n, or ing. Thus from the verbs, move, teach, write, go—are formed the participles, moved, taught, written, going.

What is the use of do as a helping word?

It has four uses. 1st, To express emphasis or opposition; as, perdition eatch my soul, but I do love thee."

ad, To fave the repetition of another verb; as, "he writes better than you do;" that is, better than you write.

3d, To ask a question: " do they write?

4th, It is elegantly used in negative sentences; as, he does not walk."

In all other cases it is obsolete or inelegant.

What is the ule of be and have?
As helpers, they are figus of time.

What is the use of shall?

In the first person it foretels; as, "I shall go; we shall speak."

In the fecond and third persons, it implies a com-

What is the use of will?

In the first person, it promises; as, "I will pay him."
In the second and third, it foretels; as, "be will!
Ipeak; you will go."

What is the use of would?

In the first person, it denotes a past, or conditional promise; or mere inclination. It is often used in the present time, in declaratory phrases; as, "I would not choose any."

In the fecond and third perfons, it expresses inclination; as, " he would not go; you would not enswer."

That is the ufe of should ?

In the first person, it commonly expresses event merely; as, "I should write, if I had an opportunity."

In the second and third persons, it expresses duty or

We iball

We will

We may

of

Ye o

They

mig

hou

e n

or

eı

0

Mi

Ho

rlon

Th

Sin

am

hou

e is

be-

bu l

e is

hay

ou

may

m

an

ou

can

ca

hull

**I**lpii

ney

# of the ENGLISH LANGUAGE.—PART II. 21

Ye or you may They may	Ye or you shall They shall Past Time.	Ye or you will They will
	Singular.	
I might	I should	I would
Thou mightest, ?	Thou shouldst,	Thou wouldst, ?
or you might	or you should	or you wouldst f
He might	He should	He would
	Plurat.	W13
We might	We should	We would
	They should	Ye or you would They would
They might Must has no va		They would
		he modes, times and
erfons?	, DC Cartea in the	to modes, times and
	hus varied, and	united to the other
helping verbs.		nave tras eggis
I	NDICATIVE MO	DE.
	resent Time, declare	
Singular.	Plur	A S. A. C.
l am	We are	
Thou art, or you	A TO A CONTRACT OF THE PARTY OF	you are
He is	They a	re distant
	Or thus, We be	ss ellisted took
You be	THE RESERVE OF THE PARTY OF THE	The state of the s
He is	Ye or y	
the second secon	ith may in this ma	
may be	Wem	
nou mayest be,	or you?	
may be	Yeory	ou may be
may be	They r	nay be
	With can	
an be	We ca	n be
ou canst be, or	you Ve or	you can be
can be	The state of the s	
can be	They	
0.1	With must	
hull be	Wem	uit be

#### A GRAMMATICAL INSTITUTE

Im

Th

He

If

Th

He

Iw

Th

He

I

Th

He

I \$\pi

He

Be

Do

whi

Ind

fh

orr

ent

If I

If d

if

If h

Thou must be, ] Ye or you must be you must be f He must be They must be Conditional, with would. I would be We would be Thou wouldst be; ? Ye or you would be you would be He would be They would be With could, should and might in the same manner, Paft Time, declaratory. I was We were Thou wast, or you was. Ye or you were He was They were After have and had, the participle been is used. I have been We have been Thou haft been Ye or you have been you have been He has been They have been I had been We had been Thou hadst been Ye or you had been you had been. He had been They had been I could be We could be Thou couldit be, or Ye or you could be you could be He could be They could be Would and should are varied in the same manner; but these forms of the verbs are not much used in the past time, except after other verbs, or in negative and interrogative phrases. Conditional. We might have been I might have been

I might have been
Thou might have been
you might have been
He might have been
They might have been
They might have been

Could have been, would have been, should have been, in the same manner. Must have been is also used, but must is not varied.

#### of the ENGLISH LANGUAGE .- PART II. 23 may have been We may have been Thou mayeft have been Ye or you may have been you may have been He may have been They may have been uture Time. We shall be I shall be Thou shalt be or you Ye or you shall be shall be They shall be He shall be We will be I will be Thou wilt be Ye or you will be you will be They will be He will be We I shall have been Thou fhalt have been 1 fhall have Ye or you you shall have been f They He shall have been We I will have been Thou wilt have been ? will have Ye or you you will have been f been He will have been They IMPERATIVE OF COMMANDING MODE. Be thou, or Be ye, or be you Do thou be or do you be Do ye be, or'do you be SUBJUNCTIVE or CONDITIONAL MODE. This is formed merely by placing if, tho, suppose, whether, or some word implying condition, before the Indicative Mode thro all its variations; thus, if I am past if he is, tho we are: Except the following conditional form of this verb, which is only in the subjunctive, preent time. t I were If we were thou wert If ye or you were if you were f he were If they were

PARTICIPLES.

Been

Being

but

ter-

en

2, 10

mul

#### A GRAMMATICAL INSTITUTE

[The teacher may direct the learner to add any paffing participle to the foregoing, which will give a combination of words expressing the sense of the Latin and Greek passive verbs.]

In what manner are regular verbs varied in the sever

It

TI

H

I

T

H

T

H

II

T

H

I

H

1

H

modes, times and persons?

They are all varied like turn in the following example:

INFINITIVE MODE .- To turn.

INDICATIVE MODE.

STRDICK	TIVE MODE.
I turn Thou turnest you turn He turneth, or turns	we Ye or you They lping Verbs, thus: We Ye or you do turn
He doth turn, or does tu	rn They
I may turn Thou mayest turn you may turn He may turn	We Ye or you They  They
I can Thou canst you can He can	we n Ye or you They
I might Thou mighteff you might He might kwould turn	if turn, &c.  mditional.  We  urn Ye or you  They  ied in the fame manner.

of the ENGLISH LANGUAGE .- PART II. 25 affin Paft Time. mbi We turned I turned an Thou turnedft Ye or you turned you turned veri They turned He turned With the Helping Verbs, thus: ęz, We I did turn Thou didst turn did turn Ye or you you did turn They He did turn We I have Thou haft have turned turned Ye or you you have They He has We I had turned Thou hadst turned ] Ye or ] had turned n you you had turned He had turned They I may have turned Ye or ] may have. Thou mayest turned util you may He may I could have turned Ye or \ could have Thou couldst turned you could He could I might have turned avaried in the same manner, I should have turned Future Time. turn We I shall turn Thou shalt turn ] fhall turn you shall turn you He shall turn They

I will turn We Thou wilt turn Ye or will turn you will turn He will turn I (hall We Ye or ? fhall have Thou fhalt have you shall 5 furned you They He shall I will Thou wilt ? Ye or will have you will } turned He will

W

hi

m

ar

he

m

de

pa

he

W

di

th

Turn, Turn, or Turn thou or turn you, or Turn ye or you, or Do thou or you turn Do you turn

PARTICIPLES.
Turning, Turned.

The subjunctive mode is the same with the indicative, with if, though, or some term of condition prefixed.

PARTICLES or ABBREVIATIONS.

What do Grammarians call Particles?

All those small words which connect nouns, verbs and sentences; as, and, for, from, with, &c.

What are these words?

They are mostly abbreviations or corruptions of old nouns and verbs.

How may the abbreviations be distributed? Into Conjunctions, Prepositions and Adverbs. What is the particular use of Conjunctions?

To connect words and fentences; as, four and three make feven; Thomas studies, but John does not.

What are the Conjunctions?

Those more generally used are the following ;

And, if, nor, either, fince, unless, also, but, neither, therefore, though, else, or, yet, because, wherefore, whether.

What is the use of prepositions?

They are commonly placed before nouns or other words to express some relation.

Which are the particles called prepatitions?

These, which may stand alone, and are called sepa-

rable prepolitions, viz.

A, for, till, above, before, from, until, about, behind, in, into, to, after, beneath, on, upon, towards, against, below, of, under, among or amongst, between, over, with, at, betwixt, through, within, amidst, beyond, by, during, without, around.

The following are used only with other words, and

are therefore called inseparable prepositions:

Be, con, dis, mis, per, pre, re, fub, un.

What is the use of adverbs?

To express circumstances of time, place and degree, &c.

Which are some of the most common adverbs?

Already, alway, by and by, elfe, ever, enough, far, hence, here, how, hither, thither, whether, indeed, much, no, not, never, now, often, perhaps, rather, feldom, then, thence, there, very, when, where, whilst or while, yesterday.

Besides these, there are great numbers of others, and particularly those formed by ly, added to adjectives -

honest, honestly.

3

d

What do we call fuch words as, alas, oh, fie, bifh, &c. Interjections. These are mere expressions of passions which are sudden and irregular.\*

Sentences.

which Leall abbreviations, is novel. I shall therefore introduce an abstract of Mr. Horne's explanations, as I find them in his Diversions of Purley.

ABBREVIATIONS, called Conjunctions.

If is the imperative of the Saxon, gifan, to give.

#### SENTENCES.

What is a sentence?

A sentence is a number of words ranged in proper or. der, and making complete sense.

What does the formation of sentences depend on ?

On agreement and government,

What is agreement?

When

in

fice

W

bo

th

15

0

i

fo

Ъ

0

i

•

i

"Hath lotted her to be your brother's mistress

"Gif she can be reclaimed; Gif nor, his prey."

Sad Shepherd, Act. 2. Sec. 2.

This passage is thus resolved, "She can be reclaimed; Give that (condition, circumstance) my largesse hath lotted her to be your brother's mistress. She can not be reclaimed; give that, my largesse hath lotted her to be your brother's prey."

This word if was written, by old authors, yeve, yef, yf, gife, giff, gi, &c. all corruptions of gif. Gyn is still used

in the north of England. -- Wilkins.

This resolution obviates the absurdity which is incurred by ranking that as a conjunction after if; if that; for two conjunctions together must be an absurdity. The truth is, if is a verb, and that is always a pronoun or adjective.

In Latin, fi is the imperative of fum; being a contraction of fit, beit; a mode of expression equivalent to gif.

An was formerly used in the same manner.

"An they will take it, fo. If not, he's plain," Shakespeare.
An is the imperative of anan, a word in the Anglo-Saxon language, fignifying grant.

Unless...
This is from the Saxon onless, to dismiss. It was formerly written onless or onlesse.

"Onles ye believe, ye thall not understand."

That is, " ye believe, dismis that (fact) ye shall not understand."

This is the fame as get from the Saxon getan, to obtain.

Still.
This is from the Saxon stellan, to place or put.
Else is from alesan, to dismise. Imp. ales.

Tho'

of the ENGLISH LANGUAGE .- PART II. 39

When one word flands connected with another word, in the same number, case, gender and person.

What is government?

ed:

ot-

re-

nuo

red

for

The

ad-

ac.

re.

X.

-10

iot

n.

It is when one word causes another to be in some case or mode.

RULE

Tho' or though.

These are from that and that; the imperatives of thafian and thatigan, a different spelling of the same word, which signified to allow. Many of the common people, both in England and America, pronounce the word that or that, which is the exact original.

"Though he flay me, yet will I trust in him." That

is. " allow or suppose he shall flay me." &c.

But.

This is used in two senses, as it is derived from two originals of different significations. One is from bet, the imperative of botan, to boot; a word still used in English for more or addition. The other, from be-utan, be out; be absent. Gawin Douglass used but and but, as words of distinct significations; and so do many old authors.

" Bot thy worke shall endure in laude and glorie,

But spot or falt condine eterne memorie."

Here bot is more; further; and but, be out or without.

In modern English, we say, "But let us proceed," that is bot or more. We say, "all but one, that is, "all, be out one." or except one. But is now used in both senses, and is always the contraction of a verb.

Without.

This is from wyrth-wian, to be out: It has the sense of but, smor be-ntan. It is applied to words and to sentences. "I will not go without (be out) him." "Ir cannot be read without (be out) the Attorney General consents to it." Lord Mansfield.

And.

This is from an the Imperative of anan, to give, and ad, the feries, reft, remainder. An, ad, give the reft.

The usual definition of and is wretchedly incorrect.

And is a conjunction copulative; the conjunction connects sentences, so as out of two, to make one sentence.

RULE 1.

Th

is the

ber, in th

as its

wall, mou

T

a pa

thur

Nev

F

ple

hee on

froi

par

der

fia

as

ha

th.

A verb must agree with its nominative case in number and person.

EXAMPLES.

In the folemn style: Thou readest, he readeth, ye read.

In the familiar style: I go, he goes, we go, you go. EXPLANATION.

Thus, "You and I and Peter rode to London," is one fentence made up of three. "You rode, I rode, Peter rode." But let us try another example. "I bought a book for four shillings and fix pence." That is, according to the usual definition, "I bought a book for four shillings, I bought a book for fix pence." And, with all its connecting force, cannot make one sentence of these.

And is a contraction of a noun and verb, I bought a

book for four stillings, give the addition, fix pence.

LA.

From lesan, to dismiss. Hence lease and release.

"Kiss the son, less he be angry." That is, "Kiss the son, dismiss or omit that, he will be angry." This by the way, is a proof that this mode of expression, which has his herto been considered the present tense of the subjunctive, is merely an elliptical-form of the suture Indicative.

Since.

This is the participle of feon, to fee. It was formerly written fithe, fithence, &c. and is to this day, pronounced by the common people, fence, fen, fin, &c. It is used for feen thence, or for feen, for feeing that, or for feen that. But at this day writers often use the participle feeing.

A

From the German es, that, a pronoun.

Many other words, as, except, because, are commonly called conjunctions; but very improperly. Since Latin words have been incorporated with the Saxon, we use, suppose, on condition, provided that, nearly in the sense of if.

ABBREVIATIONS called PREPOSITIONS.
With.

With is from withan, to join, "A house with a wall,"

EXPLANATION.

Thou is the second person singular number, and so is the verb, readest. He is the third person singular, and so is readeth. Ye is the second person, plural number, and so is the verb read. And it may be observed in the samiliar style, that each verb is in the same person as its nominative word.

REMARK

wall," is, "A house join a wall." It is often fynonimous with by.

Through.

This is from the Gothic, dauro, or Teutonic, thurub, a passage or gate. Hence the English door, the German thure, thur, &c.

The Gothic noun from a beginning. "Five miles from New-York," is, "Five miles beginning New-York."

To.

From the Gothic taui, nel, effect, consummation; participle tauie, from tanyan, to do, to finish. It feems to have been prefixed to verbs, on dropping the Saxon termination of the infinitive, an, with a view to distinguish werks from nouns. One loves change, one loves to change, that is, at change.

The Latin ad is probably from all, which is from allum, participle of agere; and corresponds with to in sense and

derivation.

m-

ye

n-

for

he

I

.

fs

V

h

)l-

y

r

t

1

Of.

From the Saxon afora, offspring, consequence. The Ruffians formerly used this, where the English would use son as a patronymic ending. Peterson, the Rushians would have called Petersof.

For.

From the Gothic, fairaina, cause, " Christ died for m," that is, cause us.

By.

This is from byth, the imperative of been, to be. This was formerly used for during. "He made Clement, by his lyfe, helper and successor." Fabian.

In old au hors it was written be.

he mi feth, be my troth."—Chevy Chace.
Between Between

REMARK 1.

Altho the nominative word commonly stands be fore the verb, as in the foregoing examples; yet may follow an intransitive verb; as, " on a sudden ap

peared the queen."

And when a question is asked or a command given the nominative must follow the verb or auxiliary sign as did he go? were you there? go thou; awake you. But in giving commands, we generally omit the nominative; as, go, awake.

REMARK

W

begin

he ve

folici

rents.

W

nom

the i

C

big

enly

Between. Petwixt.

Between, is the imperative be, and twegen, twain.

Betwixt is be, and twas the Gothic for two.

Before, behind, below, beside, are compounded with be, bound and the nouns fore, hind, low, side.

Beneath is from be and neath; that is, bottom. From

neath, we have nether, nadir, fill in use.

Under feems to be on nether, or as the Dutch pronounce it, neder from neath.

Beyond, is from be and geond, the participle of gan, or

gangan, to go. Beyond, is therefore, be passed.

Ward, is the Saxon ward or weard, imperative of wardien, to look at. It is the same as the French garder; for we begin with w, words which the French begin with g. Hence come ward, warden, toward, homeward, heavenward, &c.

The English ward and warden, are the same as guard

and guardian, derived from the French garder,

Arbwart, is from athweorian, to twift.

Among, among ft, are from gemengan, to mix.

Against, in the Saxon, ongegen, probably from the same root as the Dutch, jagenen, to meet or oppose.

Amid, amidft, are from on middan, in the midft.

Along, is from the Saxon, on long, a length or diffance.

Round, around, in Saxon, wheil, on wheil; whence probably the English wheel. On round or one round. Dan. rund.

Afide, abroad, across, astride, are tormed in the same manner: On side, or one side. We often say now, "he wen one side."

Instead, is, in place. Bed stead, home-stead, are, bed pla

come place,

n.

REMARK 2.

When there, nor or neither, precedes the verb, in the beginning of a phrase, the nominative may follow the verb or auxiliary; as there was a man;" " nor am I folicitous;" " neither hath this man finned, nor his parents." John ix. 3.

REMARK 3.

When an intransitive verb stands between two nominative words, the one in the fingular, the other in the plural number, the verb more elegantly agrees with the first; as, "the fum is ten pounds;" " all things are luft."

FALSE

About from onbuta, abuta, one bound. Hence to butt and be, bound.

After, the comparative of aft, the hird part. Aft is retained only in the feamen's dialect.

Up, probably from the same root as top.

Over, from Saxon ufa, ufera, ufermoft, which fignify, bigh, higher, higheft. Hence, above, upper, uppermoft.

ABBREVIATIONS, called ADVERBS. The termination ly is from the Saxon liche, like; beau-

enly, is heavenlike.

s be

et :

ap

ven

igur

10u.

am

K

rom

nce

10

ion,

be-

nce

C.

ard

me

a-

20

n.

n

Aghaft is from agaze, to look with aftonishment. Ago is merriy a contraction of agone, from go.

Afunder is from afundred, participle of afundrain to separate.

Afkerw. In the Danish friew, is to truit.

Askant, askance, in the Dutch, Schuin, wry, crooked.

To wit, from witten, to know.

Naught, nought, no woit. Needs, need is.

Anan, in one (moment, &c.)

Alone, only, from all one, one like.

Alive, on life, or in life. Afleep, on or in fleep.

Anew, abroad, formed in the same manner. Fare well, go well, from the old verb faran, to go. Hence-

fare, a passage, thurough fare, to pay the fare. Aught or ought, a whit or one whit.

A while, in time, or time that.

A loft, in air. In Saxon, lyft is air. Hence, to lift, loft, the lees beguard, &ca

#### FALSE CONSTRUCTION.

ligh and

N. :

ery

tual

nci

ere

e an

min

adi

ple ift

his cal

e is

TW

ve

ree

eni

1.

mk

ml

th

P

Solemn Style.

ga. Who is 1 thou, O man, that prefume 2 on thy ow wisdom? Thou ought 3 to know thou are 4 ignoran He that confess 5 his fins and forfake 6 them, shall fin mercy. A foft answer turns 7 away wrath. Anger n & in the bolom of fools.

Familiar Style.

Philadelphia are 9 a large city? it fland to on the west side of the river Delaware, and am 11 the mo regular city in America. It containeth 12 a variety different sects; all speaks 13 their own language; an

Hence our vulgar exclamation, la foul.

Lief from leof, glad, delight, fill used, but corrupted in

lives. " I had as lives."

Once, twice, thrice, formerly written, ages, twies, thrie Perhaps the possessive of one, two, three.

Rather, the comparative of rathe, prompt, fwift. Rath

is used by Milton.

Seldom, an adjective, rare, uncommon. In Dutch, felden German, felten, from the same root.

Stark, Saxon, fare, ftrong; but now used like total, et

tire, flark mad.

Span, from spange, Spining, span new, span clean. Hend

Changle.

Aye, a verb, which the French retain. It is the imper ative of avoir, to have ; aye, have it. Yes, is ay-es have that

Yea, in German ja, pron. yaw is from the same source No, not, from an old word fignifying unwilling. It

Danish it is nodig, in Dutch noede, node.

Such is Mr. Horne's theory of the particles. If in fom instances his fystem is liable to doubts and exceptions, ye in general it is well founded, being clearly established be .1 undisputed etymology.

1 art. 2 presumest. 3 oughtest. 4 art. 5 confesseth 6 forfaketh. 7 turneth. 8 refteth.

gis. 10 stands. 11 is. 12 contains. 13 speak.

ey worshippeth 14 as they please. I were 15 much lighted with it; I wishes 16 that you couldst 17 fee and observe its manners.

N. B. The nominative to a verb is found by afkfin onscience, which we ought carefully to preserve, in ery station of life, and which will secure to us a perincipal guard against the abuses of malevolence." the answer is, a clear conscience, which is therefore the monimative case to the verb be. The noun to which adjective refers, is found in the same manner. Example: "A man in office, to whom some important ey will is committed, ought to be exceedingly cautious his behaviour." Alk the question, who ought to cautious? the answer, is, a manin office; man theree is the noun, to which the adjective cautious re-

#### RULE 2.

Rath Two or more nouns fingular connected by a copuve conjunction, may have verbs, pronouns and nouns reeing with them in the plural number.

### EXAMPLES.

il, et 1. Envy and vanity are detellable vices.

d in

thri

elder

mper

· In

fom

s, ve ed by

2. Brutus and Cassius were brothers: they were Teno ends to Roman liberty.

#### EXPLANATION.

e that 1. Envy and vanity are both nouns in the fingular mber, but being joined by the copulative conjuncn and, they require the word are to be in the plural mber.

2. Brutus and Cassius are both in the singular numm a plural and require the verb were, the nouns thers and friends, and the pronoun they, to be in plural number. REMARK

14 worship. 15 was, 16 wish. 17 could.

m

ha

ne

W

be

60

ph

A

th

te

fo

04

80

g

W.

CI

#### REMARK.

When nouns fingular are united by a disjunctive conjunction, the verb, pronoun and noun following must be in the fingular number, as referring to one only; as, "either John or I was there?" "neither pride nor envy nor any other vicious passion disturbs my repose."

#### FALSE CONSTRUCTION.

Wisdom and learning is 1 very necessary for men in high stations. Peace and security is 2 the happiness of a community. Sobriety and humility leads 3 to honor. You and I is 4 very studious. You and he was 5 accounted good scholar 6. Prince Eugene and the Duke of Marlboroug was 7 great generals? he was scourge 8 to the house of Bourbon. Love, joy good humour and friendship raises 9 correspondent seelings in every heart; it sweetens 10 all the pleasure of lite; but hatred, ill-nature, jealously, envy, infincently and melancholy diffuses 11 its 12 baleful influence and casts 13 a cloud over social telicity.

N. B. It must be remarked, that when different persons are mentioned, the verb must agree with the first in preserence to both the others, and with the second in preserence to the third. Thus all three persons united; as, you and I and he, make we, the first

perfon plural.

You and I, make we.

You and he, make ye or you, the second person.

RULE 3.

Nouns of multitude, though they are in the fingular number, may have a verb and pronoun agreeing will them either in the fingular or plural.

EXAMPLES.

The affembly is or are very numerous; they are

8 they were scourges, 9 taile, 10 they sweeten. 11 difful

of the ENGLISH LANGUAGE .- PART II. 37

much divided. "My people is or are foolish; they have not known me." The company was or were noify.

Hive

ving

one

ither

urbs

en in

ine

3 to

and

? /

joy

rden fure

ncer

ence

eren

a the

e fe per

fire

gular

W.10

y an

ch

were the le EXPLANATION.

Affembly is a noun of multitude, and may be united with is in the fingular, or with are in the plural number. The fame is observable of people and company.\*

FALSE

\* We fhould have first regard to the meaning of these collective nouns, in determining whether the fingular or plural number is most proper to be joined with them. And if the indefinite article a or an precedes the noun, the verb must be singular; as, "a company was, &c."

... There are some nouns in English, that have a plural termination, which are really in the fingular, and are followed by verbs in the fingular. Such are news, pains, odds, victuals, alms, bellows, gallows, and fometimes wages. Means is used in both numbers, and sometimes pains,

Examples.

"What is the news." Genetal Practice.

"Much pains was taken." General Practice.
"Great pains was taken." Pope.

" It is odds; what is the odds?" General Practice.

" The victuals is good." General Practice.

... We had such very fine viduals that I could not eat it."

He gave much alms. Bible.

" To aik an alms." Bible.

" Give me that bellows." General Practice.

Let a gallows be made." Bible.
"This is a means." General Practice, and almost all good writers.

" The wages of fin is death." Bible.

Under this remark we may rank, billiards, fives, ethics, mathematics, meafes, byfferics, and perhaps riches.

" Billiards or fives is a game." General Practice.

" Ethics or mathemetics is a science," General Practice.

"The meafles is a difease." General Practice.

Hyflerics is often used in the same manner. "The metaphysics of language is not yet sufficiently cultivated," Michaelis.

FALSE CONSTRUCTION.

His cattle is 1 very large. Their conflitution were 2 fubverted by ambition. The church were 3 not free from false professors. The island contain 4 many inhabitants.

N. B. Cattle, though in the fingular number, conveys an idea of plurality, and therefore requires the verb to be plural, in all cases. But constitution, church and island are not nouns of multitude and they require a singular verb; though good writers have used then as such, with a plural verb. "What reason have the church of Rome to talk of modesty in this case?" Till lotson, vol. 1. fer. 49. In some cases this is admissible R U L E 4.

An adjective must agree with its noun in number Participles in the nature of adjectives, refer to form

noun, but have no variation.

EXAMPLES.

This man, that boy, these men, those boys, this kind EXPLANATION.

Man is in the fingular number and fo is the adjective this. Boy is fingular and so is that. Men an boys are plural, and so are the adjectives these and those

REMARK 1.

Adjectives are commonly placed before the nouns which they refer. EXAMPLES.

In one hour is fo great riches\* come to nought.

But wages and riches are more frequently confidered

plurals. See Chaucer.

Anciently riches was in the fingular richesse, and in the plural, richesses: so that riches is literally in the singular number.

1 are. 2 was. 3 was. 4 contains.

‡ It will be well to remark, that we have no adjection in the language that are varied, except this and that. A others, being the same in all genders and numbers, cannot help agreeing with thier nouns; as, a good boy, a good boys, or good girls.

lov

ter

the

er

the

Adj. Adi. Noun. Noun. Brave weather men warm polite virtuous behaviour women kind friends trugal manners illustrious general wife rulers

eres

free

7 111-

con-

s the

quin

then

e the

Tible

nba

fom

kine

die

o/e.

ns t

S.

ht.

ed

84

Ale

#### EXCEPTIONS.

2. When fomething depends on an adjective, it follows the noun; as,

Articles necessary for a family. food convenient for me. method fuited to his capacity.

2. When the adjective is emphatical, it is placed after the noun; as,

Noun.

Adjective.

Alexander the great.

Scipio the younger.

Socrates the wife.

3. Sometimes an intransitive verb is placed between the noun and adjective; as,

Noun. Verb. Adjective.
The Sun is pleafant.
The war was expensive.
virtue is amiable.

4. Sometimes the adjective stands before the verb

Adjective. Verb. Noun. Happy is the man. happy shall he be.

5. When several adjectives agree with one noun, they may stand after it; as, a woman, modest, sensible, and prudent.

REMARK

REMARK 2.

Articles are commonly placed before adjectives; thus,

1

food poui

ecs.

mitt

refo

tura

agri deci

the

tee

It w

the

gua

ma

ed.

ph

An

ble

lan

fu

60%

fee

ev

ple ty

2

IC

bi

Art.	Adj.	Noun.
A	wife	legislator.
2	great	fcholar.
the	beft	feafon.
the .	. fweetest	apples.

But they are placed after the adjectives all fuch and ្រាច និងបានក្នុងតិ ក្តីដៅនៃនេះលើ ១៦

many thus,

Noun. Allon : il Art. Adj. All the men. man, A fuch a man. many a.

And after any adjective, subjoined to the words,

for as, how; thus, Adj: Art. Noun. great 2 as southire a . genius. Bricht od abentysi A fun. REN AR ROT SOLL

When this and that, thefe and thofe, Land opposed to each other, this and thele refer to the latter member of the fentence, that and those to the former.

" Self-love, the foring of action moves the foul: Reason's comparing balance rules the whole; Man, but for that, no action could attend, And but for this, were active to no end."

That, in the third line, refers to felf-love in the full; and this, in the fourth, refers to reason in the second,

" Some place the bliss in action, fome in ease; Those call it pleasure, and contentment these."

Those refers to men who place the blis in action, thefe, to men who place the blifs in eafe.

When ferent as a way a select and one near

The distributive pronomical adjectives, each, revery, either, must always have verbs agreeing with them in the fingular number; for they refer to individuals feparate from each other; as, Each

# of the ENGLISH LANGUAGE APART II.

Every one was—not every one were.

Either of the men is—not either of the men are.

ius.

md

da,

to

of

1

1:

10

n,

14,

n

REMARK S.

Many words are either nouns or adjectives; as, good, evil. Instead of fingle names, we often use compound nouns; as, fire-floves.

REMARK 6.

Adjectives often refer to whole members of fententies, as to nouns; thus, "Agreeable to order, the committee palled a vote;" "prior to the decree, it was resolved." These sentences are transposed; the natural order being; "The committee palled a vote, agreeable to order:" "It was resolved prior to the decree." The adjectives agreeable prior, agree with the preceding member of the sentence; the committee passed a vote; which (act) was agreeable to order. It was resolved, which (act of resolving) was prior to the decree. This is an established usage in the language." The same rule is found in this sentence; "Suppose

In the fentence, "previous to the vote, a motion was made." Previous feems to refer to the word time, implied. But the general rule is, that the adjective, in these phrases, agrees with the whole member of a sentence. Antecedent, subsequent, pursuant, according, conformable, suitable, independent, are used in the same manner.

Some lare writers, not attending to this idiom of the language, have affected correctness by using adverba in such phrases; previously to this event, agreeably to order, conformably to his intention. I do not recollect to have seen, subsequently to this event, or accordingly to orders, everused; but they are just as correct as the other examples which are frequently used. Setting aside the difficulty of pronouncing such phrases, the modern alteration in a gross violation of the rule of construction, and of the purcest practice. For instance, agreeably means, in an agreeable manner; but what an awkward construction is this;

ploy

the of t

is th

and

righ

25,

then

he 1

cei

WII

one

gra

ed

une

fuc

idi

THO

tab

h

We

w

wi

an

T

Sa

th

di

B

th

of

31

"Suppose that John should come this morning." Here that refers to the whole subsequent part of the sentence. But this relative is usually omitted.

REMARK 7.

One adjective often qualifies another; as, very cold, full sweet, most excellent. In these expressions, the last adjective refers to, and qualifies the noun employed

in an ogreeable manner to the order of the day, it was resolved! This is the literal resolution of the phrase, which is not English; there being no fituation in which to will properly follow the adverbs agreeably, accordingly, &c. as their regimen. In those examples where the adjective seems to denote the manner of acting, or being, and thus to qualify the verb instead of the fentence, it is more agreeable to the analogy of our language, to suppose the word manner implied; as, " he behaved himself conformable to that blested example ;" that is, he behaved in a manner conformable. Or we may suppose conformable to agree with be in the beginning of the sentence; be, conformable to the blessed example behaved himself. This last is the Latin idiom, and not unfrequently found in English, especially among the poets. But in most instances, the manner of action or being has nothing to do in the fentence. Thus, " agreeable to promife, he called at five o'clock :" In this fentence, there is no reference to the manner of calling; the time is a pararcular circumstance in the promise, but it is not the only circumfiance; the whole affirmation or declaration be called at five o'clock is agreeable to promife. This is the true construction; it is the genius of the language; and had grammarians examined our own language and its peculiar idioms, they would have discovered, long before now, that adjetives may agree with jentences or members of a fentence, as well as with nouns.

I would just remark further, that the original derivative meaning of some adjectives in able, seems to be almost lost in modern usage. Thus, suitable, agreeable, conformable, proportionable, and others, do not often denote what may be fuited, conformed, or may agree; but what is suited, or conformed, or agreeing. "With a force suitable to the enterprize," is a more usual expression, than "with a force suited to the enterprize," ere

ice.

erv

ons,

em.

ed!

not

rly

re-

ify

the

m-

eff.

ble.

be-

ex-

nd

the

ing

to

ere

ar-

n-

be

ue

ad

iar

nat

as

12-

al-

77-

te is

ble.

1

ployed in the sentence; and the first adjective qualifies the last, or shows the degree of the quality predicated of the thing. Thus, it is very cold weather; weather is the noun; cold denotes the quality of the weather; and very marks the degree of that quality. The phrase, right worshipful is of this kind, and many others.

REMARK 8.

Adjectives sometimes qualify verbs and adverbs; as, a bell founds clear; a stream works clear; the sunshines bright or warm; he came quick; he lives high; he rides fingle; it polishes smooth; he was very coldly received; it was planted full seasonably.\*

FALSE

Very is merely the French wrai, true; anciently written in English veray. The rule above laid down is one of the best established in the language; and had not grammarians been blinded by a veneration for the learned languages, the rule would not have passed to this time undiscovered. Some eminent critics have condemned fuch combinations as, extreme cold, wondrous wife; but these expressions are in exact conformity to the English To prove this we need only advert to this fact; most of such phrases which have gained an undisputed establishment, are of Saxon origin. The phrases, extremeby cold, severely wirtness, are good English; and indeed we should all pronounce severe virtuous bad English. But whoever heard of verily cold, mostly excellent? Perhaps it will be faid, that very, most, full, &c. in such phrases, are used adverbially. This is a pitiful fubilitate for truth. The truth is, the Saxon idium was to use one adjective to qualify another; and this idiom frands its ground in the Saxon branch of the language; but the Latin idiom, that an adjective is qualified by an adverb, has been introduced with the derivatives from the Roman tongue. Both idioms are good in English; both are derived from the highest antiquity, and stand on the immovable basis of general undisputed practice, the foundation of all languages on earth.

\* I think no person will deny the examples above to be good English; or that the adjectives are added to the FALSE CONSTRUCTION.

This 1 pens want mending. That 2 two books are torn. These 3 is a fine day. That 4 will make excellent scholars. These 5 lad will be an honor to his friends. This 6 ladies behave with modefly.

"To diverlify these \* kind of informations, the indus-

try of the female world is not to be unobserved."

Spect. No. 428.

RULE 5.

The relative pronoun must agree with its antecedent in number, gender and person.

EXAMPLES.

1. This is the boy, who studies with diligence; he

will make a scholar.
2. The girl, who sits by you, is very modest; she

will be a very amiable woman, I be hard need and a min

3. The pen, which you gave me, is good; it writes very well. voted. . dome eminent critics

EXPLANATION.

In the first example, boy the antecedent, is masculine gender; therefore who and he, the relative and pronoun, must be masculine to make the same and the

In the fecond, girl the antecedent, is feminine; therefore the relative who and pronoun she are femi-Ter heard of words ald, worth excellent? Perhaps be fired, that army land, full, but in he he highes nine.

verbs to denote fome quality of action or being. A bell founds clear, is good English; indeed clearly would be very awkward. Yet elean denotes the manner of the

bell's founding. set tud ; spangas set to danged acre . Wery coldly is most clearly good English ; and will say person fay very is an adverb? These are remains of the Saxon idioms which grammarians have no authority to condomn. Indeed in Latin derivatives, I should prefer the union of an odjettime with an adverb, to that of two adverbs. Extreme suddenly, though seldom used, is a better phrase then extremely juddenly. ron on daide I

Thefe. 2 those 3 this, 4 those, 5 this 6 thefe. This kind,

In t either

noun z out life

> The ribute whom

The the the

He nine, happy ights whom

Hi quain than breed

is 10 Vi afford N.

ring thing IT x: 34.8

If verb.

7 he

re

10

is

1-

8.

nt

re

ie

25

1-

d

3

A:

C 0

8

0 T

1

In the third, pen the antecedent is neuter, or of reither gender; therefore the relative which and pronoun it must be used; these standing for things withbut life. come the real frame and a second second

#### REMARK.

The antecedent is fometimes omitted; as, " give ribute to whom tribute is due :" that is, to the perfon to whom tribute is due.

The relative is often omitted; as, "the man I faw;" the thing I want;" that is, "the man whom I faw;" the thing which I want."

#### FALSE CONSTRUCTION.

He which i is not contented with the goods or forune, whom's he now enjoys, must expect to be unhappy, even with greater possessions. He which 3 delights in villainy, must be rewarded with the infamy whom 4 he deferves.

His filler, which 5 is much beloved by his 6 acquaintance, for its 7 virtue and good fense, is older than I'am; he 8 fings and dances well, and his o good breeding and sweetness of temper are the admiration of ts 10 companions. 2 3 3 9 MA

Virtue is his 11 own reward. In this life the 12 affords peace of mind to those which 13 possess him. 14

N. B. Who is both masculine and seminine; referring to perfons of both fexes: Which is applied to things without life, and to brutes.

The relative pronouns are the same in both numbers.

# pacet of in togRew & E. 6.09 ga togob stranger

If no nominative comes between the relative and the verb, the relative is the nominative of the same

# POR X X MOP E & S.

This is the man, who taught thetoric. The effates of those who have taken arms against their country,

Who, 2 which. 3 who. 4 which. 5 who. 6 ber. 7 her. 8 she. 9 her. 10 her. 11 its. 12 it. 13 who. 14it. ought to be confiscated. We have a conflictation, which fecures our rights.

#### EXPLANATION.

In these expressions, there being no nominative between the relatives who and which, and the verbs, taught, have, and fecures, therefore the relatives are the nominatives.

#### REMARK.

The verb to be has a nominative after it, as well as before it; as, "it was I;" "ye are they who justify yourselves." For this reason, this passage seems to be ungrammatical, "whom do men say that I am." Matth. xvi. 13. It ought to be who, governed of an

But in the infinitive mode, an objective case sollows be; as, I thought it to be him;" you believe it to

be me."

# RULE 7.

But if a nominative comes between the relative and the verb, the relative is governed by the following verb, or some other word.

# EXAMPLES.

This is the man whom I effect, whose virtues ment distinction, and whom I am happy to oblige.

#### EXPLANATION.

whom and the verb esteem, whom is in the objective case, governed by the transitive verb esteem. The next relative denoting possession, is put in the possessive case, whose; vertues being the nominative to merit. In the last member of the sentence, whom is governed of oblige; there being a nominative I between the relative and the verb am.

N. B. The compounds of who follow the fame

to appoint,"

FALSE

WOL

van

4 10

ladi

fam

the

per

par

and

and

pa

dil

ho

la

FALSE CONSTRUCTION.

The boys, who I I admire, are those that fludy. The women, who 2 I faw, were very handsome. The fervant, who 3 you fent, is not returned. The boy, whom 4 loves fludy will be beloved by his instructor. The ladics, whom 5 possess modesty, are always respected?

RULE 8.

Two nouns, fignifying the same thing, must be in the fame case and are said to be in apposition; as, "Paul

the apostle;" " Alexander the conqueror."

But if they fignify different things, and imply property, the first is put in the possessive case, by adding s, feparated from the word by an apostrophe.

EXAMPLES.

This is John's paper. We admire a man's courage and a lady's virtue.

EXPLANATION.

The words John's, man's, lady's, denote property,

and are in the pollellive cale.

on,

be-

ht. mi-

25

tify

to

m." an

fol-

t 10

and

erb,

erit

tive

tive

ext

live

rzt.

ned

TC-

inc eale

B

The fame ideas may be thus expressed; "this is the paper of John. We admire the courage of a man, and the virtue of a lady."

REMARK 1.

In common discourse, the name of the thing posfessed is generally omitted; as, St. Haul's; Mr. Addison's, that is, St. Paul's Church; Mr. Addison's house.

REMARK 2.

The apostrophe ought always to be placed in the possessive case, to distinguish it from the plural number, Thus, " fee the lad's manners," is possessive; but, " the lads have no manners," is plural.

FALSE CONSTRUCTION.

See that boys, 8 impudence; he disobeys his masters q

1 Whom. 2 whom. 3 whom, 4 who, 5 who, 8 boy's, 9 mafter's

# 48 A GRAMMATICAL INSTITUTE

book is doft. This is George his 12 paper. The king ag edict is published.

Transitive verbs govern the objective case.

EXAMPLES.

1. I admire her. She faw him. The Scriptus

2. Religion honors its votaries. Shame follow

EXPLANATION.

1. The verbs admire, faw, directs, are transitive and govern the pronouns her, him, us, in the objective case.

faid to govern the words votaries and vice, which es press the objects of their influence.

REMARK 1.

Sometimes the personal pronouns and always the relatives, who, which, what, that, are placed before the verb that governs them.

Pro. and Rel. Governed by the Verbs.

Whom ye ignorantly worship.

Him dectare I unto you,

Whom do you fee?

Which will you take

REMARK 2.

Participles may govern the same cases as their verbias, "I am viewing a fine prospect; I have moved them. Here viewing and moved are participles, yet govern the words prospect and them.

N. B. As few or no errors are committed und this rule, it is needless to give examples of false co

Atruction.

RULE

0

T

ion

he

H

u

u

ħ

he

nfv

he

T

he

H

u

7:

24

P

I

F

prot

tale

N

pr

S ia

rogirl's, Tr John's, 12 George's, 13 king's

RULE 10.

The answer must be in the same case, as the quesson; it being always governed by the verb that alks he question, though the verb is not expressed.

EXAMPLES.

Questions.

Who wrote this book?

who is this?

whom do you see?

whom do you admire?

her.

ang

otun

low

ojed.

h ex

s the

efor

ou.

erbi

hem.

nth

and

CO

LE

EXPLANATION.

In the two first questions, who, the word that asks he question, is in the nominative; and so are the inswers George and he. In the two last, whom is in he objective, and so are the answers them and her.

The propriety of this will better appear by expressing

he questions and answers at large.

Questions.

Who wrote this book? George wrote it.

who is this?

whom do you fee?

whom do you admire? I admire her.

RULE 11.

Prepolitions govern the objective cafe.

EXAMPLES.

I write for him. Give the box to her. You will ide with them, or with us.

EXPLANATION.

For, to and with, are prepositions and require the pronouns him, her, them and us to be in the objective safe.

REMARK 1.

Many phrases occur in which words are used without prepositionor other part of speech to govern them—slast week, next Monday, he was there four days. This is a Saxon idiom.

KEMARK

E

T

re

ou

vith

iat 1

II

ons

ove

lied

ou

eis

rear

ou

ne fin

wri

It

ioul

Vill

ou o

refe

N.

onig

ave.

reati

TI

djeci

1.

Htu

me.

#### REMARK 2.

Formerly prepositions joined with adverbs, supplied the place of pronouns; thus,

Herewith \* with this s is not wherewith with which MILLES. thereto to that thereat at that thereby were used for by that by which whereby whereunto to which whereof of which wherein > in which

But these are going into disuse.

Note. Prepolitions are fometimes prefixed to at verbs; as, to where, from where, over where, &c. The is only an elliptical form of expression; the word place or some word of the same import, being implied For example; "The western limit of the Unite States extends along the middle of the river Missispp to where it intersects the thirty-first degree of non latitude;" that is to the place where. But the place is by no means elegant.

Note, further, That prepositions are often place after verbs, and become a part of them; being effectial to the meaning. Thus, in the phrases, to fall of to give over, to cast up (an account) the particles a over, up, are effectial to the verbs to which they are nexed, because on them depends the meaning of the phrases. This fort of verbs is purely Saxon; the are often very fignificant, and their place cannot a

ways be supplied by any single word.

RULE 12. Conjunctions connect like cases.

EXAMPLES.

You and I were both present. He and The sit we gether. It was told to him and me. It is disagreeable them and us.

EXPLANATION.

EXPLANATION.

The pronoun you, being in the nominative case, I required to be there too, because it is coupled to on by the conjunction and. The case is the same ith he and she; him and me; them and us; except hat the four last are in the objective case.

# REMARK.

When a comparison is made between different perons or things, the word that follows than, is not overned of it, but of some verb or preposition imlied; thus,

Are

-better

under-<

flood

taus,

on are taller than I e is older than the eare younger than they

plie

Th

blace

olied

mite

fipp

non

hra

effer

il o

S

y a

ig

the

ot

eab

N.

ou think him hand-(fomer than me e fings as well as he

write as well as you

You are taller than Lam he is older than she is we are younger than (they are you think him handfo-

(mer than you think me the fings as well as be Carrite I write as well as you

FALSE CONSTRUCTION.

It was agreeable to him and I 1, that we and them 2 lace hould fludy together. It was told to us and ye 3. Vill he go with you and I 4? Neither the nor him 5 as there. He taught both me and she 6. Either ou or me 7 must go. Neither they nor us 8 were John and me 9 are not good scholars. refent.

N. B. The relative who after than, is improper; ought always to be whom, in the objective; as, "we ave a general, than whom Europe cannot produce a

reater character."

R U L E 13.

The infinitive mode follows a verb, a noun; or an djective.

EXAMPLES.

1. It follows a verb; as, let us learn to praclife. wtue.

me. 2 they. 3 you. 4 me. 5 he. 6 her. 7 I. 8 we. 9 I.

# 32 A GRAMMATICAL INSTITUTE

- 2. A noun; as, you have a fine opportunity to learn.
- 3. An adjective; as, my friend is worthy to be truited.

#### EXPLANATION.

In the first example, practife, is a verb in the infini tive mode, following the verb learn.

In the second, learn, is in the infinitive, following

the noun opportunity.

In the third, be, is in the infinitive, following the adjective worthy.

#### REMARK

The infinitive mode or part of a fentence often has the nature of a noun; and does the office of a nomina tive or objective case.

Of a nominative; as, To play is pleafant. to study is ufeful. to be virtuous is wife. I defire to learn.

Of an objective; as, Hove to play. I hate to quarrel.

of

E

B

.63

6) by

T

the

F

nas tion

B

by

RIA

nei

th

bo

be

an

CU

2

15

# REMARK 2.

The infinitive mode is often made absolute or inde pendent of the fentence; as, " to confess the truth was in fault;" "but to proceed;" " to conclude," &c.

REMARK

It is a general rule in the language that to is a fig of the infinitive mode; but we have a few verbs the will admit of another verb after them in the infinitive without to, fuch as, bid, dare, need, make, fee, heat feel; as, he has bid me do it," not " bid me to do it."

RULE 14.

A participle, with a preposition preceding it, an fwers to the Latin gerund, and may govern an object ive cale.

EXAMPLES.

EXAMPLES.

by pursuing it.

By avoiding evil. By flunning him. by doing good. \ in observing them. by feeking peace; and for esteeming us. by punishing them.

EXPLANATION.

The participles avoiding, doing, feeking, &c. govern he objective words evil, good, &c.

REMARK

But a participle with an article before it, generally has the nature of a noun, and may have the prepolition of after it.

By the avoiding of evil. By the observing of which. by the doing of good. by the punishing of whom.

The following expressions seem to be not gramgratical:

ther By the avoiding which by the doing which by the observing them By avoiding of which by doing of which by observing of them

Either the before the participle and of after it, ought

both to be used, or both to be omitted.

But our best writers always have used the article before the participle, without the prepofition after it, and in some instances it is not avoided without difficulty.

REMARK

Participles often become mere adjectives, denoting a quality, and as fuch admit of comparison; thus, Pof. Com.

A learned-more learned-most learned man. a loving - more loving - most loving lather. a feeling-more feeling-inoft feeling heart.

REMARK 3.

A participle, with an adverb, may be placed independent of the fentence; as, "this, generally speaking, is a good rule."

Ea

Note.

fig tha

nde

ith |

C.

ty to

to be

nfini.

Wing

the the

1 - has

nina

as

el. no

itiv reat

an rect

S.

Note. Instead of the participle in ed, some writers, particularly the poets, have used an adjective derived of a verb; as, devote, annihilate, exhaust; for devoted, annihilated, exhausted. But these are become obsolcte.

REMARK 4.

The participles in ing often have the nature both of nouns and verbs. They are preceded by an article, a noun, or pronoun possessive, and yet govern the objective case. These may be called participial nouns. They are much used in the language, and their place cannot always be well supplied by a different construction.

EXAMPLES.

"I heard of his feeing him." "We feldom hear of a man's despising wealth; or of a woman's hating flattery."

Sometimes two participles have the nature of a noun; as "I heard of his being noticed." "His

being braifed excited envy."

Some writers omit the fign of the possessive; "we feldom hear of a man despising wealth." But this seems not so correct; for the object of the verb, is not so much the man, as his contempt of wealth. Besides the object of the verb, the thing heard, is an act prossessive, and consequently a noun; rather than an act performing, which would make despising a proper participle. In this phrase, "a man despising wealth;" despising is a proper participle. In this, a man's despising wealth, it is a noun, still governing wealth. The latter is the participial noun, and the most correct phrase.

REMARK 5.

Some participles in ing have a passive signification.

"The book is now printing." "Such articles are now felling at vendue."

RULE 15.

A nominative case, joined with a participle, often

feni

fell

flar

caf

par me Eu

iti

pa

of the ENGLISH LANGUAGE.—PART II. 55 flands independent of the fentence. This is called, the case absolute.

ers,

'ed.

te.

of

, a b.

ns.

ice

IC-

of

at-

a

lis

ns

ot

es

ct

8

r-

, ",

1-

1e

ct

Y

à

#### EXAMPLES.

The fun being rifen, it will be warm. They all confenting, the vote was patfed. "Jefus conveyed himfelf away, a multitude being in that place."

#### EXPLANATION.

The words in Italics are not connected with the other parts of the fentence, either by agreement or government; they are therefore in the case absolute, which, in English, is always the nominative.

#### FALSE CONSTRUCTION.

Him 1 being fick, the physician was called.

Him 2 being crazy, it was necessary to confine him,

Her 3 being dressed, she went to the assembly.

Them 4 being convened, they began business.

Us 5 knocking, the door was opened.

#### RULE. 16.

An adverb-must always stand near the word which it is designed to affect or modify.

1. It is placed before an adjective : as,

Adv. Adj. extremely cold. rigidly just.

2. It is usually placed after a verb; as,

Verbs. Adv.
To write correctly.
to fing fweetly.
to behave politely.

g. It is placed between an auxiliary and a verb or participle; as,

Aux.

1 He, ,2 he, ,3 fhe, 4 they. 5 wes

Aux. Adv. Terbs or Part. She was elegantly dreffed. the was greatly. admired. I have often feen. he has been much celebrated. we shall be highly pleased. they will foon observe.

REMARK 1.

We use many adverbs before a single verb; as, "I commonly eat at fix o'clock;" and the adverb never is usually placed before both verbs and auxiliaries; as, I never will be feen there." But this feems not fo elegant; as, "I will never be feen there."

REMARK 2.

Two negatives destroy each other and amount to an affirmative; thus, the 3 being dietholy the symptom

I do not know nothing Are (I do know something (about it. | the dans to labout it. I did not hear not one I did hear fomething. I did hear one word. word. fense he may not get none. as, he may get fome. you cannot fee none. I you can fee forne!

No stands alone in an answer; as, Will you go? No. But if any other word is uted, the negation is expressed by not; as, will they go? They will not.

No is used for not; as, " I will go, whether he will

or no."

No is used as an adjective before nouns; as, no man, no house.

R U L E 17.

After the conjunctions, if, the, unless, except, whether, the auxiliary fign is sometimes omitted in the future time.

EXAMPLES,

ho

fh

be

I

W

PI

n

21

EXAMPLES.

"Tho he flay me, yet will I trust in him."

Job xiii. 1.

"Unless he wash his sless, he shall not eat of the holy things."

Lev. xii. 6.

That is, " tho he shall slay me," &c. "unless he

fhall wash," &c.\*

1,

C

REMARK 1.

The conjunction may be elegantly omitted and the nominative be placed after the auxiliary; as, "had I been there," influed of " if I had been there." "Were I the person," instead of " if I were the person.

REMARK 9.

Some conjunctions have correspondent conjunctions, which ought to follow, in the subsequent part of the sence.

EXAMPLES.

Altho our enemies were powerful, yet we defeated them.

Whether it was John or Thomas.

Either the one or the other.

Neither the one nor the other.

As with the people, fo with the priest.

Their troops were not fo brave as ours.

# An EXERCISE.

The following examples will teach children to dif-

I cannot admit that these expressions belong to the present tense of the subjunctive mode. The ideas are clearly future, and the verbs are in the suture in the original. In most instances where authors have used, "if I be," "if he be," "if he have," "if he fay," &c. the phrases are resolvable into the suture or the present form of the indicative, by supplying an auxiliary: "If he can or may be," "if he shall have," "if he should say." Some authors use the present and suture of the subjunctive promisences use the present and suture of the subjunctive promisences use the present and suture of the subjunctive promisences or be. It appears to me the distinction is very easy. The first belongs to the present, and the last to the future,

tinguish the parts of speech, and enable them to understand their connection by agreement and government, according to the foregoing rules.\*

#### EXAMPLE.

"A woman who has merit, improved by a virtuous and refined education, retains in her decline, an influence over the men, more flattering than even that of beauty. She is the delight of her friends, as formerly of her admirers."

"Admirable would be the effects of such refined education, contributing no less to public good than to private happiness. A man, who at prescut must degrade himself into a sop or a coxeemb, in order to please the women, would soon discover, that their savor is not to be gained, but by exerting every manly talent in public and private life; and the two sexes, instead of corrupting each other, would be rivals in the race of virtue. Mutual esteem would be to each a school of urbanity; and mutual desire of pleasing would give smoothness to their behavior, delicacy to their senuments and tenderness to their passions."

Home's Hift. Man. Shetch 6.

imp

by

ret

det

an

inj

OU

mo

fla

The foregoing paragraphs may be thus parfed.

The indiffante article.

woman A noun, in the fingular number, nomina-

who A relative pronoun, referring to a woman, its antecedent, nominative case to the verb has: Rule 6.

betransitive verb, in the indicative mode, present time, third person singular, agreeing with its nominative who. Rule L.

This is called parting. In this children may be much affilted by a Pocket Dictionary, which diffinguishes the parts of speech. This method of parting the Boglish Language, which has been hitherto very little practited, is the only way to obtain a thorough knowledge of it.

# of the ENGLISH LANGUAGE.—PART II. 59 merit A noun, in the fingular number, objective case after has. Rule 9.

improved A participle, from the verb improve, in the nature of an adjective, agreeing with merit. Rule 4.

A preposition.

virtuous An adjective, agreeing with education.
Rule 4.

and A conjunction; connecting virtuous and refined. Rule 12.

refined A participle, in the nature of an adjective, agreeing with education. Rule 4.

education A noun fingular, governed by the prepo-

retains A verb trans. ind. pres. 3d person singular, agreeing with as nominative woman. Rule 1.

the A preposition.

A pronominal adjective, agreeing with de-

decline A noun, fing, governed by in. Rule it:

an Indefinite article, for a, because the following word begins with a vowel.

influence A noun fing, governed by retains. Rule gr

the The definite article.

men. A noun, plural, governed by over. Rule 11.

more An adverb.

She

fluttering A participle, in the nature of an adjective, derived from flatter, agreeing with influence. Rule 4:

than A conjunction:

that A relative pronoun in the room of influence.

beauty: A noun, governed by of. Rule 11.

A pronoun, leminine gender, nom. to is.

A GRAMMATICAL INSTITUTE 60 man An intransitive verb, ind. present tense, 3d 25 who person sing. agreeing with She. Rule 1. at pr the Definite article. muft a delight A noun, fing. nom. after is. Remark on him/e A pronominal adj. agreeing with friends. into a A noun, plural, governed by of. Rule 11. friends. fop A conjunction. or formerly An adverb, from former. COXC admirers. A noun, plural, governed by of. Rule 11. in Admirable An adjective, agreeing with effects. Rule 4; orde placed bere be. Exception 4 to Rule 4. to pl would be Would, an auxiliary, be a verb intransitive, indicative, present, 3d person plural, the agreeing with effects. Rule 1. wom the wou effects A noun, plural, nominative to would be, by Remark 1, on Rule 1. Soon that An adjective, referring to education. Rule 4. juch ther refined As before. favo education As before. contributing A participle, agreeing with education. Rule 4. 10 An adverb. le/5 An adverb. to A prepolition. public An adjective, agreeing with good. Rule 4. good An adjective, used as amount. Remark 3. Rule 4, governed by to. Rule 11. than A conjunction. An adj. agreeing with happiness. private Rule 4. A houn, lingular, governed by to: Rule 11 man

of

not

to b

gain

but

exer

ever

mai

#### of the ENGLISH LANGUAGE .- PART II. 61 A noun, fing. nominative to would discover, man A relative, nom. to must degrade. who An adverb, a contract. of at the present time. at present must degradeA verb trans. ind. present, 3d person sing. Rule 1. agreeing with who. A pronoun, objective case, gov. by degrade. him/elf Rule q. into A prepolition. Indifinite article. A noun fing. governed by into. Rule 11. A conjunction. A noun, fing. connected with fop, by or. coxcomb Rule 12. in A noun, fing. governed by in. order to please A verb transitive, infinitive mode, following the noun order. Rule 13, 2. the A noun, plu. governed by pleafe. women would discover A verb trans. ind. pres. 3d person sing. agreeing with man. Rule 1. Soon An adverb. that A conjunction. A pron. adj. agreeing with favor. Rule 4. their A noun fing, nominative to is. favor A verb intranf. ind. prefent 3d person sing. agreeing with favor. Rule 1. not An adverb. to be A verb intranf. infinitive mode. gained A participle, agreeing with favor. but A conjunction. A prepoficion.

id

1.

n

s.

i.

1;

4.

e,

ıl.

y

4.

4.

4.

exerting

every

manty

distributive pronomical adj. agreeing with talent. Rule 4. An adj. agreeing with telent.

A participle, governing talent. Rule 14.

A GRAMMATICAL INSTITUTE 62 talent A noun fing, gov, by exerting, by Rema bani 2, on Rule o. nd utual public An adj. agr. with life understood. Rule fire and An adjective, agreeing with life. private eafin and ould ! the An adj. agr. with fexes. Rule 4. two fexes A noun, plu nom. to would be. ooth instead An adverb. eir corrupting A participle. Rule 14. havid A distrib. pron. adj. agreeing with other each licac Rule 4. other A pron. edj. standing for a noun, Rema 5, on Rule 4; gov. by corrupting. mark 2. Rule 9. time would be A verb intranf. ind. pref. 3d person plur agreeing with fexes. Rule 1. dern A noun, plu. nom. after be. Rule 6, R revals mark. the A noun, fing. gov. by in. Rule 11. race Kons A noun fing. gov! by of. Rule 11. wirtue. An adjective, agreeing with efteem. Mutual esteem A noun, fingular, nominative to be. As before, 3d person, sing. agreeing wi would be efterm. Rule 1. 10 A diffrib. pron. adj. flanding for fex all each Rule 4, Remark 5, gov. by to. Rule 1 fchgol A noun fing. nom, after be. Remark of Rule 9. urbanity

of

of the ENGLISH LANGUAGE.—PART II. 63 A noun, fing. governed by of. Rule 11. banity nd An adjective, agreeing with defire. Rule 4. utual A noun, fing. nom. to would give. fire eafing A participle, governed by of. Rule 14. puld give Give is a trans. verb, ind. present, 3d person singular, agreeing with defire. Rule 1. oothness A noun, governed by give. Rule 9. A pron. adj. agr. with behavior. Rule 4, eir havior A noun, fing. gov. by to. Rule 11. licacy A noun, fing. gov. by give, understood. Rule q. As before, agreeing with fentiments. timents A noun, plural, governed by to. Rule 11. derness A noun, singular, connected by and to delicacy, or governed by give, understood, Rule 9.

R

ur

R

le

WI

alf

60

fions. A noun, plural, gov. by to. Rule 11.

APPENDIX.



P for alw fup

as, par ing a d

BRITISA 19 AU 74 USOU

Minterior All's

alled a principal of the state of

Topologica Tells (4 40% and 1866)

distantiant

And Continues and Assume

A CONTRACTOR OF THE PARTY OF TH

Manual Landraid

per let the

per exb my mit exc

to a

add

# 

# APPENDIX.

How do the English express a Command?

BESIDES the use of shall, which may express a command, the radical form of the verb is used for the same purpose; as, go, come, write. This is always addressed to a person, and thou, ye or you, is supposed to be understood; go thou, come ye.

What other sense is annexed to this form?

This mode of fpeaking is used to pray and exhort; as, "Grant thy bleffing." "Let thou thy servant depart in peace." In this sense, and sometimes, in giving commands, do is employed; as, "Do you prepare a dinner at two o'clock."

I M P E R A T I V E M O D-E,
Write thou, or Write ye, or
Do thou write. Do ye or you write.
Or thus, omitting the pronouns,
Write, or do write.\*

A wish or prayer is also expressed by several of the auxiliary

\* It is surprising, that Grammarians have made three persons in the imperative. These expressions, let me we in, let him write, let us write, and let them write, appear to be the second person; for let has the sense of person or suffer; permit me to write, &c. We do not address commands or exhortations to ourselves; let me write is not an address to myself, but to a second person, let thou me; that is, remit me. Nor do we address commands to a third person, except by means of a second. Let him go, is a command to a second person, or an order conveyed throuse second to a third person. Let us go, is either an exhimation to a number, among whom the speaker includes himself; or a command; as, permit us to go. In all these cases, the address is made to the second person.

auxiliary figns, with the pronoun following; and this either with or without the interjection, Ob.

May he be restored to health; or,
O! May he be restored!
Would he but spare my life!
O! Might I behold my dear son!
Could he be restored to my longing eyes!

May and might here preserve their usual distinction.

May supposes uncertainty, and therefore expresses a prayer. Might supposes a thing which cannot probably happen, and therefore expresses a fruitless wish.

These expressions correspond, in some measure, with

the Greek optative.

How do the English express condition and uncertainty? By prefixing some adverb or conjunction to the verb. Verbs subjoined to other verbs in construction, or to adverbs and conjunctions implying doubt and condition, are said to be in the subjunctive mode.

How is this mode formed ?

By combinations of words, similar to those in the indicative, + as,

If I go—if he goes—&c.

# INTERROGATIVE SENTENCES.

How are questions asked in the English language?

By placing the pronoun, or other nominative case, after the verb or first helping verb. Thus:

Have ye or you?

Have ye or you?

Has

den

exc

fon eic

be

ple

I

† It has been the practice of some writers to omit the inflections of the regular verbs in the present time of the subjunctive. If I write, if then write, if he write. But this form is generally an elliptical future; " if he should or shall write." This appears to be the genius of the language, and most modern writers use the proper form for the present: " If thou writes, if he writes."

Has he? or } Have they?"

Give an example in the several times.

bis

n.

ly

th

b.

0

1

e

Present Time.
Am I? Will I?
Can I? Do I?
May I? Do I turn?
Shall I? Dost thou turn? &c...

Paft Time.

Had I? Would I? Was I? Did I? Did I turn?

Might I? Didft thou turn? &c.

Should I?

Muft I have turned?

Could I have been? Might I have turned?

Might I have been? Could I have turned?

Should I have been? Should I have turned?

Would I have been? Would I have turned?

Euture Time.

Shall I be? Shall I have been? Wilt thou have been?\*

How are negative fentences formed, that is, how do we deny any thing?

By placing the word not after the verb or first helper.

Examples, in present time.

I am not We are not

Thou art not } Ye or you are not

He

\*The first person, Will I be ? will we be ? is not used, except by a mistake. I have not set down all the persons in the interrogative form, deeming one or two sufficient. The learner may go thro the several persons, at the direction of the instructor: As, am I? art thou? is he? are ye of are you? are they? So in the other examples.

He is not \_ They are not I have not I turn not, or I may not I do not turn I can not I fam not turning.

In past time.

I was not I would not I had not I fhould not I might not

I could not

I was not turned
I have not been
I had not turned
I had not turned
I could not have been I did not turn
I would not have been I could not turn
I should not have been I should not turn
I might not turn
I might not turn

I may not have turned.
I can not have turned.
I might not have turned.
I would not have turned.
I could not have turned.
I should not have turned.

# In Future Time-

I shall not be:

I will not turn

I will not turn

I shall not have been I shall not have turned.
You will not have been You will not have turned.

How do the English ask questions in the negative?

In this manner, place the nominative after the verb or first helper, and the not immediately after the nominative.

Am I not? Will I not? Was I not? Shall I not? Haye I not? Could I not? Had I not? Would I not?

Can

Can I not?

Should I not ?

May I not?

Have I not been turned?
Had I not been turned?
Could I not have been turned?
Would I not have been turned?
Should I not have been turned?
Might I not have been turned?
Muft I not have been turned?

When do the English ask questions in the negative form? When the speaker is supposed to be acquainted with the fact enquired for or to suspect it; and to ask for a concession or assurance of the fact. It seems, in an argument, to be a modest way of asserting a fact. But when the enquirer is supposed to be unacquainted with the fact, he ought not to ask the question in the negative form. Thus:

Does it rain? asks for information.

Does it not rain? implies that the speaker supposes it to rain.

"Do you believe the existence of a supreme Being?" would be a very improper question to ask of a known christian.

"Do you not believe the existence of a supreme Being?" may be asked of any person with propriety; especially in an argument.

Where is the negation to be placed? After the nominative case; thus:

Do I not write Has he not written?

Does he not write? Should he not be writing? In the vulgar style, the negation is placed before the nominative, and contracted thus: Did'nt I write? don't he write? But this should not be imitated.

Note. The answer to a negative interrogative sentence, if the fact is conceded, is expressed by the affirmative yes, or a correspondent verb. If the speaker intends to deny

deny the fact, he answers by the negative no; or a correspondent verb. It is said by some men of erudition, that the negative form of questioning is not philosophically necessary; but this is not material; as, in our language, it certainly has a distinction and important mean-

ing.

In teaching the English verbs, especially to foreigners, the learner should be directed to draw out on paper, the forms of several verbs at large; not only in the affirmative form, but in the negative and interrogative, and in the combined form of both. This should be particularly attended to in the irregulars at large, with a view to understand the proper combinations of the auxiliary signs, with the radical verb and its participles.

The defective verb ought is thus varied, in the present

and past time.

Thou oughtest Ye or you ought
He ought They ought
Ought has no participle.

Let is thus varied in the prefent time.

I let We let
Thou lettest Ye or you let
He letteth or lets They let

It has no other variation; but it has all tenles and par-

ticiples.

IRREGULAR VERBS.

All English verbs that make the past time and participle in ed, are accounted regular: All that vary from this rule may be called irregular. I shall rank the whole of our irregular verbs under three heads; first those that make the present tense, past and participle all alike: as,

Present. Past. Participte. Hurt Hurt Hurt

Of this kind are the following: beat, buft, cast, cast, eat, heat, hit, knit, let, put, read, rent, rid, set, shed, sit, spite, spread, thrust, wet.

The

for

for

and

bu

Pn

A

ab

be

bel

ble

bi

bu

bu

de

di

dr

dr

dv

fee

fee

fin

fle

fli

ur

be

The addition of ed after d or t, would render the found of the word disagreeable; as, hitted, putted, &c. for which reason it is omitted.

Note. Beat formetimes makes beaten in the participle;

and heat, heated.

2. Those that make the past time and participle alike, but different from the present time; as the following:

Prefent.	Paft and Part.	Prefent.	Paft and Part.
Awake	Awoke .	rend	rent
abide	abode	fay	faid
be	been	feek	fought
behold	beheld	fell	fold
bind 1	· bound	fend	fent
bleed	bled	fhoot	fhot
breed	bred	fleep	flept
biing	brought	fling	flung
build	built or builded	fmell	fmelt
buy	bought	fpend	fpent gris
catch	caught	fpin	fpun
ercep	crept	fland	flood
deal	dealt	gild	gilt or gilded
dig	dng	gird	girt or girded
dream	dreamt	grind	ground
drink	drank	hang	hung or hanged
dwell	dwett	have	had
feed	fed	hear	heard
feel	felt	keep	kept
fight	fought	lay	laid
find	found	lead	led
flee	fled	leave	left
fling	flung	flick	fluck
geld	gelt or gelded	fling	flung
bend	bent	fweep	Twept
unbend	unbent	[west	fwet .
bereave	bereft	teach	taught
befeech	befought	tell	told -
leap :	leapt or leaped	think	thought
lend	lent	weep	wept
lofe	left	wind	wound
make	made	work	wrought or worked
mean	meant	wring	wrung
meet in	met	win	won
Pay	paid		3. Those

3. Those that have the present, past and participle all different; as the following:

Present Tenfe. Past. Participle. Bear bore or bare borne or born began begun begin bade or bid bidden bid bite bit bitten HE IN ALACT ON LOS blown blew blow break broke broken chiden chid chide chose chosen choose . cleave clove or clave cloven or cleft came come come crew Crow dare durft dared die died dead do did drew done drawn driven drive - in drove eaten fallen cat ing i ate fell . fall fly flown flown forfake forfook forfaken freeze froze frozen get got gave gotten .... given go went
grow
hew hewed gone grown hewn hid hidden hide hold held held or holden in h knew know knew laden laden laden law mowed known loaded or loaden lain mown

ride Manual rode ridden ring rang or rung rung rife rose rifen run fan faw run feen . feeth fod fawn fodden

STEPPIN

all w

fhave.

take tear thri thro

Pre

fha

fha

she

ftre

ftro

fhe

fho

fhri

fing

fink

fit

flay

flid

fmi

fow

fpea

fpri

ftea

ftin ftrik

foit

ftriv fwe:

fwe!

fwii

fwii

trea Weal wear Writ

wax

Prefent.	Paft.	Participle.
fhave the care and	fhaved	fhaven or fhaved
fhake	fhook	fhaken.
Shear .	fheared	fhorn or fheared
ftrew	ftrewed	frewa dio to
	alfo,	Traffic
ftrow	ftrowed	frown
fhew	fhewed	shewn
TO BE STATE OF	alfo,	Marin Strain Strain
fhow	fhowed	fhown
fhrink	thrank or thrunk	
fing	fang or fung	fung
fink and shirt has	Tank or funk	funk .
fit	fat	fitten
flay	flew	flain
flide	flid	flidden
fmite	fmote	fmitten
fow	fowed	fown
fpeak	fpoke	fpoken 3
fpring	fprang or fprun	
fleal	ftole	ftolen
flink down in the	flank or flunk	<ul><li>一人力で、下の方は一人とこれ、とことととしたことを予算を必要を確認される。</li></ul>
ftrike	ftruck	Aruck wo
fpit	fpit	fpitten
ftrive . 3 3 A	frove 1	firiyen
fwear -	fwore	fworn of the A
fwell	fwelled	fwollen or fwelled
fwing	fwang or fwun	
fwim	fwam or fwum	
take	took	taken
tear	tore	torn
thrive a did ovi A	throve	thriven
throw! Own Print	threw	thrown
tread *	trod	trodden
wear	wore	worn and doing
weave	wore	woven
write	wrote	written
wax	waxed	waxen
	030000000000000000000000000000000000000	Marie The County of the County
Mary Commercial and Control	19-40-119-139-120-19-120-10-10-10-10-10-10-10-10-10-10-10-10-10	· 中国的中国的国际中国的政治的企业。

en

NOTES.
PLURAL NUMBER.
SOME men write genius's, idea's, for the plural. But this feems not fo correct as geniusses, ideas. It

It is disputed, whether two handsful, or two handfuls, is the most correct expression. It appears to me as plain a case as, two shoemakers or two shoes maker. The word handful is a noun, a name of a certain quantity, and the sign of the plural ought to be added to the termination. Two handsful does not convey the idea; it means two separate hands filled; whereas two handfuls means twice the quantity that the hand will contain, which is our meaning when we use the word.

ftr

10

ty.

bu

the

do

35

bu

wa

ha

lan

to

no

tuz,

In

Pr

you

It i

pec

of i

wh

or (

We usually say, " the miss Smiths;" " The misses

Smiths," is more accurate.

We say, twelve foot, thirty pound; and this seems to be an established idiom of the language. It is remarked by Lhuyd, that this also is the invariable practice in the Cornish dialect, a branch of the old British language. So also we say, a hundred horse, these are a good apple. The word folk anciently signified a number, these folk. But it is now used in the plural, folks. Enough was once used in the singular only; enow in the plural is still used by some writers, particularly the Scotch; but enough is now generally used in both numbers.

Possessive Case.

Many people use wives in the plural, when they should the wife's, the possessive. "It is at my wives disposal,"

ought to be, wife's disposal.

It is questioned whether at Mr. Bell's the bookfeller's, or at Mr. Bell's the Bookfeller, or at Mr. Bell, the bookfeller's, is the most elegant expression. The first is clearly the most correct and agreeable; except two words follow; as, at Mr. Bell's the bookfeller's and stationers: in which case, I should vary the expression, at the store of Mr. Bell the bookfeller and stationer.

We use latter and later in different senses, Latter refers to time and place; later to time only. Priestley.

Older and oldest are used in a sense different from elder and eldest. Older and oldest refer to priority of time only; elder and eldest are used to express precedency of rank or privilege. We often use the superlative for the comparative, the prongest of the two. This is not so correct as stronger.

Plenty for plentiful is become fo frequent as perhaps to claim a place among English adjectives. Wheat is plenty.

#### PRONOUNS.

Prononns are sometimes used without any antecedent; but in such cases, the antecedent is easily suggested by the mind. "How far is it to such a place?" "How far do you call it?" That is, the distance. Who is it? Who is the person?

Sometimes it feems to coalefce with the verb in fenfe.
"The king carried it with a high hand." Parliam. hift.

We vulgarly fay, Will you finoke it?

What is vulgarly used for that. "I am not satisfied

but what it was belt."

n

d

e

1: 0

Ir

:5

0

d

e

e.

e.

k.

e

d

is

d

"

S

k-

1-

ds

.

re

er

er n-

of

It is very common to hear these phrases, it is me, it was him. These appear not strictly grammatical, but have such a prevalence in English, and in other modern languages derived from the same source, it inclines me to think, that there may be reasons for them, which are not now understood. The French say, c'est moi, c'est him, phrases precisely answering to ours, it is me, it is him. In some instances, these cannot well be avoided. See Priestly on pronouns.

The relative who, in this and similar phrases, who do you speak to? must perhaps be admitted as an anomaly. It is the invariable practice to use who, except among people who are settered by grammatical rules. In spice of rules, who is she married to? is more agreeable than

whom is the married to?

#### VERB.

We fay, what ails him? but seldom, he ails a fever or other disease.

Owing and wanting are used in a passive sense. What is wanting? A debt owing to me, are established phrases. We

We say, a man is well read in law; he was offered so much for a thing, where the subject and object seem to have changed places; for the meaning, is, law is well read; so much was offered, &c. This invertion may be allowable, where it is not attended with obscurity.

On the use of auxiliary verbs, Dr. Priesley has this criticism, "By studying coucifeness, we are apt to drop the auxiliary, to have, though the fense relate to past time. I found him better than I expected to find him. In this eafe analogy feems to require that we fay, I expected to have found him: that is, to have found him there." This is a great error, and for the reason which he immediate. ly alligns, that is, "the time past is sufficiently indicated by the former part of the fentence." The truth is, the time is afcertained by the first verb, I expected, which carries the mind back to the time; then to use another verb in time past, is to carry the mind back to a time preceeding the existence of my expectations. He gives an example from Hume, which, he fays, is certainly faulty. "Thefe profecutions of William, feem to be the most iniquitous," &c. It is faulty, not because both verbs are not in time past, but because neither of them is past time; feem to ave been, or feemed to be, would have been correct; but feemed to have been, would not have been grammatical. His remarks on this point feem to have been made with less accuracy of judgment than we observe in most of his writings.

Sometimes verbs after than have no apparent nominative, "He speaks with more spirit than is usual." This is an elliptical form of expression, and the verb might be omitteds but it is often used without creating ambiguity.

These expressions, I had rather, you had better, I had as leif, feem not grammatical. Whether had is, in these phrases, a corruption of would, or an old peculiarity, its general use, both in books and speech, undoubtedly entitle it to an establishment in grammar. Rather is the comparative of the old word rathe, prompt, willing. This, as well as better and lief, were originally nouns, and

might

mi

mo

COL

phi

Be

Yo

fta:

as t

pro

he i

wh

the

the

he i

ftar

diff

ob

the

bef

he s

be n

thir

the

24.

to 1

25;

COL

liffi

non

ular

ble.

n h

Reed

might, with propriety, follow have, had rather, i. e. had more promptness or readiness. It is probable, that if we could go far enough into antiquity, we should find these phrases might be resolved on grammatical principles. Besides, would will not always supply the place of had. You would better stay, is not the sense of you had better stay.

e

S

S

is

3.

y

e

e

ne

æ

le

fè

,"

30

to

ut

al.

th

a

ni-

his

be,

ty.

ad

efe

its

en-

the

ng.

and

t

There is something singular in the use of the verbs need and dare, in the third person. When they stand as transitive verbs, and are followed by some noun or pronoun, they have the regular personal termination; as he needs a guide; he dares me to enter the list. But when they are immediately followed by another verb in the infinitive, the personal termination is dropped, and these verbs are to be considered as auxiliaries: Thus, he need not go: he dare not stay; where need and dare stand exactly upon the sooting of may and can. This difference in the use of these words has not before been observed, yet is as well established as any peculiarity in the language, and insensibly made in practice from the best writers to the humblest cottagers. He dares not go; he needs not go, are as awkward and unwarrantable as be mays not; or cans not go.

The verb needs is often used in another manner, equally singular; as in this sentence: "In such artificial things there needs no other description, than to name them by their usual names." Bacon's Abridg. vol. 4. 24. This is good English, but what is the nominarive to needs? Perhaps this phrase might grow out of need is; as needs in the phrase, he must needs, is evidently a contraction of need is. At any rate, it is a well established mode of expression, there needs none, &c. and it must be admitted as an idiomatic irreg-

Another fingularity in the use of this verb is observable. When it is used as a transitive or principal verb, it has a regular preterit; as, he had all the evidence he needed. But when it stands on the sooting of an auxilia-

G 2

ry, it has not the usual inflections for the past time; as, "Perhaps the party had other evidence, and need not have put the cause on this point." Salkeld's Reports, 1, 289. These distinctions are established in books as well as speaking.

When need is used as a principal verb, the sign of the infinitive is prefixed to a following verb; as, he needed to have some support. So that as a principal verb, it is regular in its variations; but as an auxiliary it has no variation, unless with thou in the second person.

The use of mistaken is equally singular. When applied to persons it is synonimous with wrong or errongous. This is almost or quite universally understood to be its meaning; and this common understanding constitutes its true signification, which no man has a right to dispute or attempt to change. But when applied to things, it is always used in a passive sense, equivalent to misunderstood. I am mistaken, you are mistaken, mean, I am wrong, you are wrong; but the nature of a thing is mistaken, means, its nature is misunderstood.

PREPOSITIONS, ADVERBS and CONJUNCTIONS.

While is commonly confidered as an adverb; but very erroneously. It is a noun, fignifying time. It is worth while, or worth his while; i. e. worth his time. How is sometimes used as implying negation. "Let us take care how we fin," i. e. that we do not fin. But this is not very correct, and a very unnecessary mode of speaking. Above is often used as an adjective—the above remarks. Then is sometimes used in the same manner, the then ministry. These phrases seem uncount, but perhaps were formerly considered as correct.

A is often used as equivalent to per in Latin. Four shillings a bushel. Philosophical principles teach us to supply for to make the sentence complete; but it does not appear that for was ever used in these cases. It is probable from the progress of language, and from old English writers, that it is a contraction of one, four shillings one bushel. Some grammarians, ignorant of the idioms of

their

31

ir

w

li

g

n

H

27

11

21

C

C

n

P

1

T

10

·U

their own tongue, and fond of adjusting every thing by Roman rules, have substituted the Latin per. Thus we see every day, per week, per quarter, per yard, per bushel, and a multitude of other pers, the offspring of ignorance and pedantry, soisted into the language, and disinheriting our own legitimate children. The English is, a week, a yard, a day, &c. and a day is as correct in English, as per diem is in Latin.

Lowth condemns this expression, "In one hour is so great riches come to nought." But this word was formerly in the singular number. Chaucer uses richesse almost invariably in the singular, and makes the plural

richeffes.

Many was formerly used in the fingular number-

"Agains so manye foo"—that is, foe.

Hence the propriety of the phrase, many a man.

Lowth also reprobates this form of expression, it is these, it is they. I believe these phrases may be defended on philosophical principles; these and they collectively forming an agent or subject, represented by it. At any rate the idiom is so well established, and the other construction is so awkward, that an English ear cannot consent to the correction—they are they. No Frenchman disputes the propriety of ce sont eux, ce sont elles—phrases which are as unphilosophical as ours, it is these or they. And in spite of great names, these phrases will still be used as good English.

Our ancestors considered ashes as singular. "The ashes of an heiser—fanclisieth to the purifying of the slesh." Sanclisieth is not a missake—the translators of the Bible did not make such blunders. But in modern

times, ashes is rather used as a plural.

Averse and aversion, Lowth says, seem to require from and not admit to. He inclines much to admit Latin idioms rather than English. The true force and propriety of the English particles are known only by their use. To is generally used after these words—it is much the most agreeable, and on examining the origininal

meaning

3300

the ded

as,

not

, 1,

t is

ap-

t to to

ean,

ve-

this of ove

ner, but

not ba-

one s of

fort!

there

with

2 C

you

to th

alls

geli

all o

and

Ro

the

an

bo

ex

no

to

m

th

L

C

W

t

I

meaning of to, it is found to be the most correct. A Latinist may relish averse from, but an English ear is not

eafily reconciled to the expression.

Compare is followed by with or to: With is used, when two objects are compared which are together, and exhibited at a single view. To is sometimes used, when objects are absent from each other. Or perhaps this is the difference; with is used when two things are of the same kind, and alike in the capital sigure or properties; to, when a comparison is instituted de nova, or between things that are not commonly associated in idea. Of the some picture with another." Of the latter, "He compared one picture with another." Of the latter, "Homer compares a croud of people to a swarm of bees."

The adjectives long, broad, thick, deep, wigh, old, diftant, strong may follow the nouns which they qualify, as, five feet long, two feet broad, four feet thick, one yard deep, twenty feet high, seven years old, three miles distant,

four thousand strong.

[ Note: Some writers affecting correctness, write ever fo instead of never so, the ancient parase; as let it be ever so little. This is an error. The true parase is, never so little. "If a neighbour offended them never so little." The meaning and construction is, "if a neighbour offended them so little as he never before offended them." This parase was used by all good writers, till since the days of Addison and Swift; when it become offensive to some superficial critics, who rejected, without understanding it.]

is to be preferred. The ease of pronunciation, which is the guide in this case, always requires cotemporary.

CRITICAL NOTES, by Dr. LOWTH.

(1) "AND I perfecuted this way unto the death."

Acts xxi. 4. The apostle does not mean any particular fort

fort of death, but death in general: the definite article therefore is improperly used. It ought to be unto death, without any article, agreeable to the original. See also 2 Chron. xxxii. 24.

"When He, the Spirit of truth is come, he will guide you into all truth." John xvi. 13. That is, according to this translation, into all truth whatsoever, into truth of all kinds; very different from the meaning of the Evangelist, and from the original, into all truth; that is, into

all evangelical truth.

not

nen

ex.

ob.

the

me

to,

een

the

red

m-

lif-

as,

rd

nt,

神

be

i\$,

li-

ed.

ill

ne

h-

IS.

" Truly this was the Son of God," Mat. xxvii. 54, and Mark xv. 39. This translation supposes that the Roman Centurion had a proper and adequate notion of the character of Jesus, as the Son of God in a peculiar and incommunicable fense: whereas, it is probable, both from the circumstances of history, and from the expression of the original, (a Son of God, or of a God, not the Son) that he only meant to acknowledge him to be an extraordinary person, and more than a mere man; according to his own notion of Sons of Gods in the Pagan theology. This is also more agreeable to St. Luke's account of the same confession of the Centurion. " Certainly this was a righteous man;" not the Just One. The fame may be observed of Nebuchadnezzar's words, Dan. iii. 25. " and the form of the fourth is like the son of God; it ought to be expressed by the indefinite article, like a fon of God, as Theodotion very properly renders it; that is, like an angel; according to Nebuchadnezzar's own account of it in the 28th verfe: "Bleffed be God, who hath fent his angel, and delivered his fervants." See also Luke xix. 9.

"Who breaks a butterfly upon a wheel?" Pope. It ought to be the wheel; used as an instrument for the particular purpose of torturing criminals; as Shake-

Spear,

"Let them pull all about mine ears; present me Death on the wheel, or at wild horses heels."

God Almighty hath given reafon to a man to be a

dight unto him." Hobbes, Elements of Law, Part 1, chap. v. 12. It should rather be, "to man, in general."
(2) The word many is taken collectively as a substan.

tive.

Did'st thou beat heav'n with blessing Bolingbroke,
Before he was what thou wouldst have him be?"
Shakespear, 2 Hen. IV.

But it will be hard to reconcile to any grammatical propriety the following phrase: Many one there be, that say of my soul, There is no help for him in his God."

Pf. in. 2.

for ! Well

tence

ly ad

with

(6

ofura

perly

66

14

T

othe

in h

and

inco

as v

But

ficie

in t

itan

eve

of

Sid

end

Ed

pe

Pl

" How many a meffage would he fend?"

Swift, verses on his own death.

"He would fend many a message," is right: but the question how seems to destroy the unity, or collective nature of the idea; and therefore it ought to have been expressed, if the measure would have allowed of it, without the article, in the plural number; "how many messages."

(3) "There were flain of them upon a three thousand men;" that is, to the number of three thousand. I Mac. iv. 15. "About an eight days;" that is, a space of eight days. Luke ix. 28. But the expression is obsolete, or at least vulgar; and we may add likewise, improper; for neither of these numbers has been reduced by use and convenience into one collective and compassides, like a hundred, and a thousand; each of which, like a dozen or a score, we are accustomed equally to consider on certain occasions as a simple unity.

either of the printers or of the compilers. "Nevertheless, Asa his heart was perfect with the Lord." 1 Kings, xv. 14. "To see whether Mordecai his matters would

Hand." Efther, iii. 4.

(5) "It is very probable, that this convocation was called, to clear some doubt, that King James might have had about the lawfulness of the Hollanders their throwing off the monarchy of Spain, and their withdrawing

for

for good and all their allegiance to that erown." Wellwood's Memoirs, p. 31, 6th edition. In this fentence, the pronominal adjective their is twice improperly added, the possessive case being sufficiently expressed without it.

(6) Some writers have used ye as the objective case plural of the pronoun of the second person, very improperly, and ungrammatically.

"The more shame for ye: holy men I thought ye."
Shakespear, Hen. VIII.

" But tyrants dread ye, lest your just decree,

Transfer the pow'r, and fet the people free." Prior.
"His wrath, which one day will de roy ye both."

Milton P. L. ii. 734.

Milton uses the same manner of expr ssion in a tew other places of his Paradise Lost, and more frequently in his Poems. It may perhaps be allowed in the Comic and Burlesque style, which often imitates a vulgar and incorrect pronunciation: as, "By the Lord, I knew ye, as well as he that made ye." Shakespear, 1 Henry IV. But in the serious and solemn style, no authority is sufficient to justify so manifest a solecism.

The fingular and plural form feem to be confounded in the following fentence: "Pass ye away, thou inhab-

itants of Saphir." Micah i. 11.

(7) His felf and their felves were formerly in use, even in the objective case after a preposition: "Every of us, each for his felf, labored how to recover him." Sidney. "That they would willingly, and of their selves, endeavor to keep a perpetual chastity." Stat. 2 and 3 Ed. VI. ch. 21.

(8) Double comparatives and superlatives are impro-

per:

t 1.

ral."

tan.

ical

that

99

.

the

na.

ex-

out

25. 11

and

ace

b-

m-

ed

ad

ch,

to

kė,

ne-

gs,

ald

ras

ve

vng "-The Duke of Milan.

And his more braver daughter could control thee."

"After the most straitest sect of our religion I lived a Pharisee." Acts xxvi. 5. So likewise adjectives, that

have

have in themselves a superlative signification, admit not properly the superlative form superadded: "Whosoever of you will be chiefest, shall be servant of all." Mark, x. 44. "One of the first and chiefest instances of prudence." Atterbury, Serm. IV. "While the extremest parts of earth were meditating a submission." Ibid. I. 4.

"But first and chiefest with thee bring Him, that you foars on golden wing, Guiding the hery-wheeled throne,

The Cherub contemplation." Milton, II. Penferolo.

"That on the fea's extremest border stood."

Addison's Travels,

(1

[ecor

verb

I wil

art t

flore er th

alon

(1

we d

" T

Goo

to t

cha

ente

Fre

ed

the

me

the

terl

fpe

the

Fol

An

ler

the

11.

(9) Worser is barbarous.

"Changed to a worfer shape thou canst not be."

Shafespear, 1 Hen. VI.

" A dreadful quiet felt, and worfer far

Than arms, a fullen interval of war." Dryden, (10) Thou in the polite, and even in the familiar flyle, is disused, and the plural you is employed instead of it; we say, you have, not thou hast. On the contrary the solemn style admits not of you for a single person. This hath led Mr. Pope into a great impropriety in the baginning of his Messiah;

Who touch'd Isaiah's hollow'd lips with fire!"

The solemnity of the flyle would not admit of you for thou in the pronoun; nor the measure of the verse touchedst or didst touch, in the verb, as it indispensably ought to be, in the one, or the other of these two forms; you, who touched or thou who touchedst, or didst touch.

(11) Hath properly belongs to the ferious and folemn flyle; has to the familiar. The fame may be observed

of doth and does.

" But, confounded with thy art,

Inquires her name, that has her heart." Waller.

" The unwearied fun from day to day

Does his Creator's power display." Addison.

The nature of the flyle, as well as the harmony of the verse, seems to require in these places hath and doth.

(12) The auxiliary verb will is always formed in the fecond and third persons singular wilt and will; but the verb to will, not being an auxiliary, is formed regularly; I will, thou willest, he willeth or wills. "Thou that art the author and bestower of life, canst doubtless restore it if thou will'st, and when thou will'st; but whether thou will'st, (wilt) please to restore it, or not, that thou

alone knowest." Atterbury, Serm. I. 7.

ot

er

k,

u.

est

4.

fo.

ls.

en.

iar

ad

ra-

on.

he

for

rse

bly

ns;

ch.

mn

red.

the

(13) I doubt much of the propriety of the following examples: "The rules of our holy religion, from which we are infinitely swerved." Tilotson. vol. i. Serm. 27. "The whole obligation of that law and covenant, which God made with the Jews, was also ceased." Ibid. vol. ii. Serm. 52. "Whose number was now amounted. to three hundred." Swift's contests and diffentions, chap. iii. "This marefchal upon fome discontent, was entered into a conspiracy against his master." Addison, Freeholder, No. 31. Neuter verbs are sometimes employed very improperly as actives: "Go, flee thee away into the land of Judah." Amos vii. 12. "I think it by no means a fit and decent thing to vie charities, and erect the reputation of one upon the ruins of another." Atterbury, Serm. I. 2. "So many learned men, that have fpent their whole time and pains to agree the facred with the profane chronology." Sir William Temple, Works, Fol. vol. p. 296.

"How would the gods my righteous toils succeea?"
Pope, Odyss. xiv. 447.

" If Jove this arm succeed."

Ibid xxi. 219.

And active verbs are as improperly made neuter: as, "I must premise with three circumstances." Swift, Q. Anne's Last Ministry, chap. 2. "Those that think to ingratiate with him by calumniating me." Bently. Differt. on Phalaris, p. 159.

(14) Rife with infhort, hath been improperly used as the past time of this verb. " That form of the first or primogenial

primogenial earth, which rise immediately out of chaos, was not the same, nor like to that of the present earth." Burnet's Theory of the Earth, B. I. chap. 4. " If we hold fast to that scripture conclusion, that all mankind

rife from one head." Ibid. B. II. chap. 7.

(15) Frequent mistakes are made in the formation of the participle of the verb sit. The analogy plainly requires sitten; which was formerly in use: "The army having sitten there so long."—" Which was enough to make him stir, that would not have sitten still, though Hannibal had been quiet." Raleigh. "That no parliament should be dissolved, till it had sitten sive months." Hobbes, Hist. of Civil Wars, p. 257. But it is now almost wholly disused, the form of the past time sat, having taken its place. "The court was sat, before Sir Roger came." Addison, Spect. No. 122. Dr. Middleton hath, with great propriety, restored the true participle: "To have sitten on the heads of the apostles: to have sitten upon each of them."

Works. vol. ii. p. 30.

(16) The neuter verb lie is frequently confounded with the verb active to lay, (that is, to put or place;) which is regular, and has in the past time and participle

layed or laid.

" For him, thro hostile camps I bent my way, For him, thus prostrate at thy feet I lay; Large gifts proportioned to thy wrath I bear."

Pope, Iliad xxiv. 622. Here lay is evidently used for the present time, in-

flead of lie.
(17) Overflown used for overflowed.

"For rhyme in Greece or Rome was never known, Till by barbarian deluges o'erflown." Roscommon,

Effay.

"Do not the Nile and the Niger make yearly inundations in our days, as they have formerly done? And are not the countries so overflown still situate between

the

tl

F

fe

to

fir

the tropicks?" Bentley's Sermons.

" Thus oft by mariners are shown

8,

re

nd

of

e.

ly.

to

Ir.

. 11

W

it,

re

r.

ue

A-

ed

ole

2.

n-

1,

111,

m-

nd

en

Earl Goodwin's castles overflown."——Swift.

Here the participle of the irregular verb, to fly, is confounded with that of the regular verb to flow. It ought to be in all these places overflowed.

(18) Improper use of the past time for the participle.

"He would have Jpoke."—Milton, P. L. x. 517.
"Words interwove with fighs found out their way."
P. L. i, 621.

"Those kings and potentates who have strove."

Eiconoclast. xvii.

" And to his faithful fervant hath in place

" Bore witness gloriously."-Samson Ag. ver. 1752.

"And envious darkness, ere they could return, Had stole them from me."—Comus, ver. 195.

Here it is observable, that the author's MS. and the first edition, have it stolen.

" And in triumph had rode." P. R. iii, 36.

-" I have chose

This perfect man.—P. R. i. 165.

" The fragrant brier was wove between."

Dryden, Fables. will fearce think you have swam in a Gondola."

Shakespear, As you like it.

"Then finish what you have began, But scribble faster, if you can."

Dryden, Poems, Vol. II. p. 172.

"And now the years a numerous train have ran; The blooming boy has ripened into man."

Pope's Odyst. xi. 555.

" Have sprang." --- Atterbury, Serm. I. 4.

" Had spoke—had began."—Clarendon, Contin. Hist. p. 40 and 120.

The men begun to embellish themselves."

Addison, Spect. No. 434.

"Rapt into future times the bard begun."
Pope, Messiah.

And without the necessity of rhyme:

" A fecond deluge learning thus o'er run,

And the Monks finish'd what the Goths begun."

Essay on Criticism,

It

th

Ar

bo

Se

D

hû

E

up

fo

bu

yo

th ho

in

ple

in

Ite

id

de

W

th

to

CO

2t

th

(19) The formation of adverbs in general with the comparative and fuperlative terminations feems to be im. proper; at least it is now become almost obsolete: as, "Touching things which generally are received-we are hardliest able to bring such proof of their certainty, as may satisfy gainsayers," Hooker, B. V. 2. "Was the easter persuaded." Raleigh: " That he may the ftronglier provide," Hobbes, Life of Thucyd. things highliest important to the growing age." Shaftel. bury, Letter to Molesworth. " The question would not be, who loved hunfelf and who not; but who lov. ed and served himself the rightest, and after the truest manner." Id. Wit and Humour. It ought rather to be most hardly, more easily, more strongly, most highly, most right or most rightly. But these comparative adverbs, however improper in profe, are sometimes allowable in poetry.

" Scepter and pow'r thy giving, I affume; And gladlier shall resign."—Milton, P. L. vi. 731. (20) The conjunction because, used to express the motive or end, is obsolete: as, "The multitude rebuked them, because they should hold their piece." Matt. xx. " It is the case of some, to contrive false periods of business, because they may seem men of dispatch." Bacon, Essay xxv. We should now make use of that.

(21) " Scotland and thee did each in over live,"

Dryden, Poems, vol. II. p. 220. "We are alone; here's none, but thee and I."

Shakespear, 2 Hen. Vl. It ought, in both places, to be thou; the nominative

cale to the verb expressed or understood. (22) " But thou false Arcite, never shall obtain.

Thy bad pretence." Dryden, Fables.

It ought to be *shalt*. The mistake seems to arise from the confounding of thou and you.

"Nor thou that flings me floundering from thy back."
Parnel, Battle of Frogs and Mice, I. 123.

"There's (there are) two or three of us have feen

ftrange fights."---Shakespear, Jul. Cæs.

m.

the

m.

as,

we'

Vas'

the

tef.

uld

OV.

uest

be hly,

ad-

al.

31.

moked

XX.

iods

ch."

hat.

220,

VI.

ative

It

"I have confidered, what have (hath) been faid on both fides in this controverfy."—Tillotfon, Vol. I. Serm. 27.

"One would think, there was more Sophists than one had a finger in this volume of letters."—Bently,

Differt. on Socrates' Epistles, sect. IX.

"The number of the names together were about an hundred and twenty." Acts i. 25. See also Job xiv. 5.

"And Rebekah took goodly raiment of her eldest some Esau, which were with her in the house, and put them

upon Jacob her youngest son." Gen. xxvii: 15.

(23) "To see so many to make so little conscience of so great a sin." Tillotson, Serm. I. 22. "It cannot but be a delightful spectacle to God and angels, to see a young person besieged by powerful temptations on either side, to acquit himself gloriously, and resolutely to hold out against the most violent assaults: to behold one in the prime and slower of his age, that is courted by pleasures and honours, by the devil, and all the bewitching vanities of the world, to reject all these, and to cleve steadtastly unto God." Ib. Serm. 54. The impropriety of the phrases distinguished by Italic characters is evident. See Matth. xv. 31.

(24) Matth. xxiii. 5. The following sentences seem desective either in the construction or the order of the words; "Why do ye that, which is not lawful to do on the sabbath days?—The shew-bread, which is not lawful to eat, but for the priests alone." Luke v. 2—4. The construction may be rectified, by supplying it; "which it is not lawful to do; which it is not lawful to eat." or the order of the words in this manner; "to do which,

H 2

to eat which, is not lawful;" where the infinitive to do, to eat, does the office of the nominative case, and the relative which is in the objective case.

(25) "Here you may see, that visions are to dread."

Dryden, Fables.

tha

Ph

tha

pe

the

tha

me

aft

Se

eit

e

Ki

tic

wl

fee

hii

X12

of

po

A

pr

gy

ca

di

to

to

qu

in

"I am not like other men, to envy the talents I cannot reach. Tale of a Tub, Preface. "Grammarians have denied, or at least doubted them to be genuine." Congreve's Preface to Homer's Hymn to Venus. "That all our doings may be ordered by thy governance, to do always that is righteous in thy fight." Litaurgy. The infinitive in these places seems to be improperly used.

(26) " The burning lever not deludes his pains."

Dryden, Ovid. Metam. B. xii.

"I hope, my Lord, faid he, I not offend."

Dryden, Fab.

These examples make the impropriety of placing the adverb not before the verb very evident. Shakespear trequently places the negative before the verb;

" She not denies it." - Much ado.

- For men

Can counsel, and give comfort to that grief, Which they themselves not feel."—Ibid.

It feems therefore as if this order of words had anciently been much in use, though now grown altogether

obsolete.

(27) Did he not fear the Lord, and befought the Lord, and the Lord repented him of the evil which he had pronounced against them? Jer. xxvi. 19. Here the interrogative and explicative forms are consounced. It ought to be, "Did he not fear the Lord, and befeech the Lord? and did not the Lord repent him of the evil?" "If a man have an hundred sheep, and one of them be gone astray, doth he not leave the ninety and nine, and goeth into the mountains, and feeketh that which is gone astray?" Mat. xviii. 12. It ought to be go and feek;

that is, doth he not go and feek that which is gone aftray? (28) "Let each esteem other better than themselves." Phil. ii. 3. It ought to be himself. "It is requisite that the language of an heroic poem should be both perspicuous and sublime. In proportion as either of thele two qualities are [is] wanting, the language is imperfect." Addison. Spect. No. 285. "Tis observable, that every one of the letters bear date after his banishment; and contain a complete narative of all his story afterwards." Bently, Differt. on Themistocles' epistles,

Sect. ii. It ought to be bears, and they contain.

Either is often used improperly instead of each: as, "The king of Israel, and Jehosaphat king of Judah sat either [each] of them on his throne." 2 Chron. xvii. 9. "Nadab and Abihu, the fons of Aaron, took either, [each] of them his cenfer." Lev. x. 1. See also 1 Kings, vii. 15. Each signifies both of them taken diftictly, or separately: either properly signifies only the one, or the other, of them, taken disjuctively. For which reason the like expression in the following passages. feems also improper: "they crucified two other with him, on either fide one, and Jesus in the midst." John "Of either fide of the river was there the tree XIX. 18. of lite." Rev. xxii. 2. See also 1 Kings, x. 19. "Proposals for a truce between the ladies of either party." Addison, Freeholder. Contents of No. 38.

(29) "Forasmuch as it hath pleased Almighty God of his goodness to give you sate deliverance, and hath preserved you in the great danger of childbirth:" Liturgy. The verb hath preserved, hath here no nominative case; for it cannot be properly supplied by the preceding word, God which is in the objective case. It ought to be, "and he hath preserved you;", or rather, "and to preserve you." Some of our best writers have frequently fallen into this, which appears to me to be no

imall maccuracy.

0.

e

S.

1-

15 22.

S.

1t-

0.

i.

b.

je.

ır

7-

er

e

e e

1.

n

73

e d

e

(30) "Which rule, if it had been observed, a neighbouring

bouring prince would have wanted a great deal of that incense which has been offered up to him by the adorers." Atterbury, Serm. I. 1. The pronoun it is here the nominative case to the verb observed; and which rule is lest by itself, a nominative case without any verb tollowing it. This manner of expression, however improper, is very common. It ought to be, "If this rule had been observed, &c." "We have no better materials to compound the priesshood of, than the mass of mankind; which corrupted as it is, those who receive orders must have some vices to leave behind them, when they enter into the church." Swift, Sentiments of a church of Englandman.

(31) This is commonly faid, "I only spake three words: when the intention of the speaker manifestly re-

quires, "I fpake only three words.

"Her body shaded with a slight camarr, Her bosom to the view was only bare."

Dryden, Cymon and Ipigh.

10

44.]

re

46

ou

B

M

to

fo

Ca

A

44

A

V

20

1

ot it

1

The fense necessarily requires this order: "Her bosom only to the view was bare."

(32) Examples of impropriety in the use of the preposition. "Your character, which I, or any other writer, may now value ourselves by (upon) drawing." Swift, Letter on the English tongue. "You have bestowed your favors to (upon) the most deserving persons." Ibid. "Upon such occasion as fell into (under) their cognizance." Swift, Contests and Dissentions, &c. chap. ii. "That variety of factions into (in) which we are still engaged." Ibid. chap. v. "To restore myself into (to) the good graces of my fair critics." Dryden's Presace to Aureng. "Accused the ministers for (of) betraying the Dutch." Swift, Four last years of the Queen, Book ii. "Ovid, whom you accuse for (of) luxuriancy of verse." Dryden, on Dram. Poety. "The people of England may congratulate to themselves, that"—Dryden. "Something like this, has been reproached.

hat

or-

ere

ich

erb

m-

ule

ri-

of ive

m,

ilts.

ee

re-

e-

ri-

ft,

ed

d.

1+

i.

1-

)

e

g

k

F

e

Tacitus." Bolingbroke on History, Vol. I. p. 136. "He was made much on (of) at Argos." "He is fo relolved of (on) going to the Persian court." Bentley, Dissertations on Themistocles' Epistles, Section in. "Neither the one nor the other shall make me swerve out of (from) the path, which I have traced to myself." Bolingbroke, Letter to Wyndham, p. 252.

"And virgins smil'd at what they blush'd before;"
What they blush'd (at.) Pope, Essay on Crit.

"They are now reconciled by a zeal for their cause, to what they could not be prompted (to) by a concern for their beauty." Addison, Spect. No. 81: If policy can prevail upon (over) force." Addison, Travels, p. 62. "I do likewise differt with (from) the Examiner." Addison, Whig Exam. No. 1. "Ye blind guides, which strain at a gnat, and swallow a camel." Mart. xxiii. 24. "Which frain out, or take a gnat out of the liquor by straining it;" the impropriety of the preposition has wholly destroyed the meaning of the phrase. Observe also, that the noun generally requires after it, the same preposition, as the verb from which it is formed: "It was perfectly in compliance to (with) fome perfons, for whose opinion I have great deference." Swift, Pref. to Temple's Memoris, "Not from any personal hatred to them, but in justification to (of) the best of Queens," Swift, Examiner, No. 23. In the last example, the verb being transitive, and requiring the objective case, the noun formed from it, seems to require the possessive case, or its preposition after it. Or perhaps he meant to fay, "in justice to the best of Queens."

(33) May not me, the, him, her, us, which in Saxon are the dative cases of their respective pronouns, be considered as still continuing such in the English, and including, in their very form, the force of the prepositions to and for? There are certainly some other phrases, which are to be esolved in this manner: "Wo is me!" The phrase is pure Saxon: "Wa is me!" me is the da-

tive

tive case: in English, with the preposition, to me. So, "methinks;" Saxon, "me thineth." "As us thoughte:" Sir John Maundevylle. "Wo worth the day!" Ezek, xxx. 2; that is, Wo be to the day. The word worth is not the adjective, but the Saxon verb weorthan, or worthan, sieri, to be, to become; which is often used by Chaucer, and is still retained as an auxiliary verb in the German language.

(34) That hath been used in the same manner as including the relative which; but it is obsolete: as, "To consider advisedly of that is moved." Bacon, Essay xxii.
"We speak that we do know, and testify that we have

feen." John iii. 11.

(35) "Who, instead of going about doing good, they are perpetually intent upon doing mischies." Fillotson, Serm. I. 8. The nominative case they, in this sentence, is superfluous: It was expressed before in the relative who.

(36) "I am the Lord that maketh all things; that firetcheth forth the heavens alone:"—Isaiah xliv. 24. Thus far is right: the Lord in the third person is the antecedent, and the verb agrees with the relative in the third person: "I am the Lord, which Lord, or He that, maketh all things." It would have been equally right, if I had been made the antecedent, and the relative and the verb had agreed with it in the first person: "I am the Lord, that make all things." But when it follows, "that spreadeth abroad the earth by myself," there arises a confusion of persons, and manifest solecism.

" Thou great first caule, least understood!

Who all my sense confin'd,

To know but this, that Thou art good,

And that myfelf am blind :

Yet gave me in this dark estate, &c.—Pope, Uni. Prayer.

It ought to be confinedst, or didst confine: gavest or didst give, &c. in the second person.

(37)

T

to m

varia

No.

prep

ed:

impe

tion

the !

min

the f

nect

of th

fall i

of p

adde

of r

ple

ceiv an u

r. J

inte

tece

bodz

ies,

the

ltru

(3

So.

e :"

ek.

rth

10

by

the

in-

Го

Xii.

ive

hey

on,

in-

re-

at

4.

he he

He

lly

la-

it

(1)

n.

i.

1/2

(37) "Abuse on all he lov'd, or lov'd him, spread."
Pope, Epist to Arbuthnot.

That is, "all whom he lov'd, or who lov'd him." Or to make it more easy by supplying a relative, that has no variation of cases, "all that he lov'd, or that lov'd him." "In the temper of mind he was then." Addison, Spect. No. 549. In these and the like phrases, which are very common, there is an elipsis both of the relative and the preposition; which would have been much better supplied: "in the temper of mind in which he was then."

(38) The connective parts of fentences are the most important of all, and require the greatest care and attention; for it is by these chiesly, that the train of thought, the course of reasoning, and the whole progress of the mind, in continued discourse of all kinds, is aid open; and on the right use of these, the perspicuity, that is, the first and greatest beauty of style, principally depends. Relatives and conjunctions, are the instruments of connection in discourse: It may be of use to point out some of the most common inacuracies, that writers are apt to sall into with respect to them, and a few examples of saults, may perhaps be more instructive, than any rules of propriety that can be given. Here therefore shall be added some further examples of inaccuracies in the use of relatives.

The relative placed before the antecedent: Example: "The bodies, which we daily handle, make us perceive, that whilst they remain between them, they do by an unsurinountable force, hinder the approach of our hands that press them." Locke, Essay, B. ii. C. 4. Sect. r. Here the sense is suspended, and the sentence is unintelligible, till you get to the end of it: There is no antecedent to which the relative them can be referred, but bodies; but, "whilst the bodies remain between the bodies," makes no sense at all. When you get to hands, the difficulty is cleared up, the sense helping out the construction. Yet there still remains an ambiguity in the

relatives

relatives they, them, which in number and person, are equally applicable to bodies or hands; this, though it may not here be the occasion of much obscurity, which is commonly the effect of it, yet it is always disagreeable and inelegant; as in the following examples:

"Men look with an evil eye, upon the good that is in others; and think, that their reputation obscures them; and that their commendable qualities do stand in their light, and therefore they do what they can to cast a cloud over them, that the bright shinings of their virtues, may

not obscure them." Tillotson, Serm. I. 42.

"The Earl of Falmouth and Mr. Coventry, were rivals who should have most influence with the Duke, who loved the Earl best, but thought the other the wifer man, who supported Pen, who disobliged all the courtiers, even against the Earl, who contemned Pen, as a fellow

of no sense." Clarendon, Cont. p. 264.

(39) The distributive conjunction either, is sometimes improperly used alone, instead of the simple disjunctive or; "Can the fig-tree bear olive berries? either a vine, sigs?" James iii. 12. "Why beholdest thou the mote that is in thy brother's eye? Either how canst thou say to thy brother, Brother let me pull out the mote that is in thine eye; when thou thyself beholdest not the beam, that is in thine own eye?" Luke vi. 41. 42. See also chap: xv. 8. and Phil. iii. 12.

Neither is sometimes supposed to be included in its

correspondent nor.

" Simois, nor Xanthus shall be wanting there." Dry-

Or is sometimes used instead of nor, after neither:
"This is another use, that in my opinion, contributes rather to make a man learned than wise, and is neither capable of pleasing the understanding, or imagination." Addson, Dial. I. on Medals.

Neither for nor: " Neither in this world, neither in the world to come." Matt. xii. 32,

Too

qun

the

SW

that

hav

Pop

ing

pro

der

hin

mo

an

gre

fin

pre

p.

W

le

of

10

gi

fi

P

are

it

ch

ole

15

n;

err

ud

ay

riho

rs,

W

les

ve

ne,

ote

ay

15

m,

So

its

y-

ri

es

er

\*\*

in

Too—, that, improperly used as correspondent conjunctions: "Whose characters are too profligate, that the managing of them should be of any consequence." Swift, Examiner, No. 24. And, too—, than: "You that are a step higher than a philosopher, a divine; yet have too much grace and wit than to be a bishop." Pope to Swift, Letter 80. So—but: "If the appointing and apportioning of penalties to crimes be not for properly a consideration of justice, but rather (as) of prudence in the lawgiver." Tillotson, Serm. I. 35.

# CRITICAL NOTES by Dr. PRIESTLEY.

(1) IN feveral adjectives the termination most is used to express the superlative degree; as, hindermost, or hindmost; hithermost (almost obsolete;) uppermost, under-

most, neithermost, inermost, outermost or utmost.

(2) Several adverbs are used in an elegant manner, to answer the purpose of degrees of comparison. There is great beauty in the use of the word rather, to express a small degree, or excess of a quality. "She is rather profuse in her expenses." Critical Review, No. 90. P. 43.

p. 43.
(3) The word full is likewife used to express a small excess of any quality. Thus we say, The tea is full weak, or full strong; but this is only a colloquial phrase.

(4) The preposition with is also sometimes used in conversation, to express a degree of quality something less than the greatest; as, they are with the widest.

(5) In some cases we find substantives, without any alteration, used for adjectives. "In the flux condition of human affairs." Bolingbroke on history, vol. I. p. 199. "A muslin slounce, made very sull, would give a very agreeable flirtation air." Pope.—Chance companions. Of this kind are, an alabaster column, a silver tankard, a grammar school, and most other compound nouns.

(6) In speaking to children, we sometimes use the third person singular, instead of the second; as, will he or she do it. The Germans use the third person plural

when they fpeak the most respectfully.

(7) The pronouns you and your are sometimes used with little regard to their proper meaning; for the speaker has just as much interest in the case as those he addresses. This stile is oftentatious, and doth not suit grave writing. "Not only your men of more refined and solid parts and learning, but even your alchymist, and your fortuneteller, will discover the secrets of their art in Homer and Virgil." Addison on Medals, p. 32.

(8) For want of a sufficient variety of personal pronouns of the third person, and their possessives, our language labors under an ambiguity, which is unknown in most others. "The eagle killed the hen, and eat her in her own nest.—He sent him to kill his own father." Nothing but the sense of the preceding sentences can determine what nest, the hen's or the eagle's, is meant in the former of these examples; or whose father, his that gave the order, or his that was to execute it in the latter.

(9) When the words are feparated by other prepositions, there is, sometimes, the same ambiguity. "He was taking a view, from a window of St. Chad's cathedral, in Litchfield, where [i. e. in which] a party of the royalists had fortified themselves." Hume's History, vol. VI. p. 449. Quere, was it in the cathedral, or in the town, that the party of royalists were fortified?

(10) The demonstrative, that, is sometimes used very emphatically for so much. "But the circulation of things, occasioned by commerce, is not of that moment as the transplantation which human nature itself has un-

dergone." Spirit of nations, p. 22.

(11) Sometimes this same pronoun is elegantly used for so great or such a. "Some of them have gone to that height of extravagance, as to affert that that performance had been immediately dictated by the Holy Ghost."

lume's

H

ve

era

ly

fu

ot

nfe

the

A

the

is

ph

m

WI

ca

MO

the

the

tiv

600

pe

no

ut :

ad

in

Er

to

the

the

he

al

d

1-

it

ed

ft,

ır 2.

0-

in

er

"

e-

in

at

r.

i-

le

e-

ne

y.

ın

y

of

at

.

d

0

1-

..

Hume's history, vol. V. p. 288. In these cases, however, it should seem, that the common construction is generally preserable.

(12) What is fometimes put for all the, or words nearly equivalent. "What appearances of worth afterwards fucceeded, were drawn from thence." Internal Policy

of Great Britain, p. 196, i. e. all the appearances.

(13) The pronoun one has a plural number, when it is used as a substantive. "There are many whose waking thoughts are wholly employed in their sleeping ones." Addison.

- (14) I shall here mention a remarkable ambiguity in the use of the word one when it is no pronoun. And it is such as, I think, cannot be avoided, except by a periphrasis, in any language. I cannot find one of my books. By these words I may either mean, that all the books are missing, or only one of them; but the tone of voice, with which they are spoken, will easily distinguish in this case.
- (15) The word none has generally, the force of a pronour; as, "Where are the books? I have none of
  them." In this case it feems to be the same word with
  the adjective no; for where no is used with the substantive, none is used without it; for we say, I have no
  books; or, I have none. This word is used in a very
  peculiar sense. "Israel would none of me." "I like
  none of it." i. e. Would not have me at all; do not like
  it at all.
- (16) There is a remarkable ambiguity in the negative adjective no; and I do not fee how it can be remedied in any language. If I fay, "no laws are better than the English," it is only my known fentiments that can inform a person whether I mean to praise, or dispraise them.
- (17) The word fo, has, fometimes, the same meaning with also, likewise, the same; or rather it is equivalent to the universal pronoun le in French. They are happy, we are not so, i. e. not happy.

  (18).

(18) We want a conjunction adapted to familiar flyle, equivalent to notwithstanding. For all that seems to be too low and vulgar. "A word it was in the mouth of every one, but for all that, as to its precise and definite idea, this may still be a secret." Harris's three Treatises, P. 5.

the

W

of

a of

an

ma

14

aw for

for

Wi

pro fix

the

ha

the

pro

ex

tio

W

car

an

dif

ftr

tw

let

of

far

(19) In regard that, is solemn and antiquated; because would do much better in the following sentence. "The French music is disliked by all other nations. It cannot be otherwise, in regard that the French profody dissers from that of every other country in Europe." Smol-

let's Voltaire, vol. IX. p. 306.

(20) Except is far preferable to other than. "It admitted of no effectual cure, other than amputation."

(21) In using proper names, we generally have recourse to the adjective one, to particularize them. It is tell my friend, I have seen one Mr. Roberts, I suppose the Mr. Roberts that I mean to be a stranger to him; whereas, if I say, I have seen Mr. Roberts, I suppose him to be a person well known. Nothing supposes greater notoriety than to call a person simply Mr. It is therefore, great presumption, or affectation, in a writer, to presum his name in this manner to any personmance, as if all the world were well acquainted with his name and merit.

by the use or omission of the sense is sometimes made by the use or omission of the article a. If I say, He behaved with a little reverence, my meaning is positive. It I say, He behaved with little reverence, my meaning is negative; and these two are by no means the same, or to be used in the same cases. By the former I rather praise a

person, by the latter I dispraise him.

useful one, we may better bear the seeming impropriety of this article a before nouns of number. When I say, there were sew men with him, I speak diminutively, and mean to represent them as inconsiderable. Where as, when I say, there were a sew men with him, I evidently intend to make the most of them.

(24) Sometimes a nice distinction may be made in the sense by a regard to the position of the article only. When we say half a crown, we mean a piece of money of one half of the value of a crown; but when we say, a half crown, we mean a half crown piece, or a piece, of metal, of a certain size, figure, &c. Two shillings and sixpence is half a crown, but not a half crown.

(25) The article the is often elegantly put, after the manner of the French, for the pronoun possessive; as, "he looks him full in the face," i. e. in his face. "That awful majesty, in whose presence they were to strike the forehead on the ground," i. e. their toreheads. Fergu-

fon on Civil Society, p. 390.

(26) When a word is in such a state, as that it may, with very little impropriety, be considered, either as a proper, or a common name, the article the may be prefixed to it or not, at pleasure. "The Lord Darnly was the person in whom most men's wishes centered." Hume's History, vol. V. p. 87. Lord Darnly would have read just as well; and this form is more common, the word Lord being generally considered as part of the

proper name.

ile.

to

uth

ine

fes,

use

lie

not

fers

ol-

ad.

re-

If I

the

ere.

to

no-

ore, efix

all

rit.

ade

be-

If I

be a

ery

ety

n I

ely.

re-

nt-

(27) Different relations, and different senses, must be expressed by different prepositions; though in conjunction with the same verb or adjective. Thus we say, to converse with a person, upon a subject in a house, &c. We also say, we are disappointed of a thing, when we cannot get it; and disappointed in it, when we have it, and find it does not answer our expectations. But two different prepositions must be improper in the same construction, and in the same sentence. "The combat between thirty Britons, against twenty English." Smollet's Voltaire, vol. II. p. 292.

(28) In some cases, it is not possible to say to which of two prepositions the preference is to be given, as both are used promiscuously, and custom has not decided in favor of either of them. We say, expert at, and expert

I 2

p.

in

E

to

tui

ter

OC

thi

on

Ty

Ĭb

in

tic

an

tal

be

ft

pe

pa

m

fe

1,11

in a thing. "Expert at finding a remedy for his miftakes." Hume's History, vol. IV. p. 417. We say, disapproved of, and disapproved by a person. "Disapproved by our court." Swift. It is not improbable, but that, in time, these different constructions may be appropriated to different uses. All languages furnish examples of this kind, and the English as many as any other.

(29) The force of a preposition is implied in some words, particularly in the word home. When we say, he went home, we mean to his own house; yet in other constructions, this same word requires a preposition; for we say, he went from home. We say, he is at home,

not he is home.

(30) Many writers affect to subjoin to any word the preposition with which it is compounded, or the idea of which it implies; in order to point out the relation of the words in a more distinct and definite manner, and to avoid the more indeterminate prepositions of and to; but general practice, and the idiom of the English tongue, seem to oppose the innovation. Thus many writers say, averse from a thing. "Averse from Venus." Pope. "The abhorrence against all other sects." Hume's History, vol. 4. p. 34. But other writers use averse to it, which seems more truly English. Averse to any advice. Swift.

(31) Several of our modern writers have leaned to the French idiom in the use of the preposition of, by applying it where the French use de, though the English idiom would require another preposition, or no preposition at all in the case; but no writer has departed more from the genius of the English tongue in this respect than Mr. Hume. "Richlieu profited of every circumstance, which the conjuncture afforded. Hume's History, vol. 4. p. 241. We say, profited by. "He remembered him of the sable." Ib. vol. 5. p. 185. The great dissculty they find of fixing just sentiments. Ib. "The king of England provided of every supply." Ib. vol. 1, p. 206.

p. 206. In another place he writes, "Provide them in food and raiment." Ib. vol. 2. p. 65. The true English idiom seems to be to provide with a thing.

(32) It is agreeable to the same idiom, that of seems to be used instead of for in the following sentences. "The rain hath been falling of a long time." Maupertuis' Voyage. "It might perhaps have given me a greater taste of its antiquities." Addison. Of, in this place, occasions a real ambiguity in the sense. Ataste of a thing, implies actual enjoyment of it; but a taste for it, only implies a capacity for enjoyment.

(33) In the following fentences, on or upon might very well be substituted for of. "Was totally dependent of the Papal crown." Hume's History. "Laid hold of," Ib. We also use of instead of on or upon, in the following familiar phrases, which occur chiefly in conversation; to call of a person, and to wait of him. On or up-

on is most correct.

(34) In some cases, a regard to the French idiom hath taught us to substitute of for in. "The great difficulty they found of fixing just sentiments." Hume's Histo-

ry. " Curious of antiquities."

(35) In a variety of cases, the preposition of seems to be superfluous in our language; and, in most of them, it has been derived to us from the French. "Notwithstanding of the numerous panegyrics on the ancient En-

glish liberty."

(36) Of is often ambiguous, and would oftener be perceived to be fo, did not the fense of the rest of the passage in which it occurs prevent that inconvenience. The attack of the English, naturally means an attack made by the English, upon others: but, in the following fentence, it means an attack made upon the English. "The two princes concerted the means of rendering inessectual their common attack of the English."

(37) Of is used in a particular sense in the phrase, he is of age; the meaning of which is, he is arrived at what is deemed the age of manhood. (38)

(38) Agreeable to the Latin and French idioms, the preposition to is sometimes used in conjunction with such words as, in those languages, govern the dative case; but this construction does not seem to suit the English language. "His servants ye are, to whom ye obey." Romans. "And to their general's voice they soon obey'd."

ing fentences. "Deciding law-suits to the northern counties. Hume's History. "A great change to the better." Hume's Essays. At least, for is more usual in

this construction.

(40) To feems to be used improperly in the following fentences. "His abhorrence to that superfitious figure." Hume's History, i. e. of. "Thy prejudice to my cause." Dryden, i. e. against. "Consequent to."

Locke, i. e. upon.

(41) The place of the preposition for, might have been better supplied by other prepositions in the following sentences. "The worship of this deity is extremely ridiculous, and therefore better adapted for the vulgar." Smollet's Voltaire, i. e. to. "To die for thirst." Addison, i. e. of or by. "More than they thought for [of.]" D' Alembert.

(42) The preposition with seems to be used where to would have been more proper in the following sentences. "Reconciling himself with the king." Hume's History. "Those things which have the greatest resemblance with each other, differ the most." Smollet's Voltaire.

(43) Other prepositions had better have been substituted for with, in the following sentences. "Glad with [at] the sight of hostile blood." Dryden. "He has as much reason to be angry with you as with him." Preceptor.

(44) The preposition with and a personal pronoun, fometimes serve for a contraction of a clause of a sentence. "The homunculus is endowed with the same

locomotive

loc

Sha

pro

hel

110

all

mi

on

H

Fr

W

m

en

CC

10

0

F

locomotive powers and faculties with us." Tristram Shandy, i. e. the same faculties with which we are endowed.

(45) The preposition on or upon seems to be used improperly in the following sentences. "I thank you for helping me to an use (of a medal) that perhaps I should not have thought on [of.]" Addison. "Censorious upon all his brethren." Swift, perhaps of.

(46) We fay, to depend upon a thing, but not to promife upon it. "But this effect we may fafely fay, no one could beforehand have promifed upon." Hume's History. It might have been, have promifed themselves.

(47) The preposition in is sometimes used where the French use their en, but where some other prepositions would be more agreeable to the English idiom. "He made a point of honour in [of] not departing from his enterprise.', Hume's History. "To be liable in a compensation." Law Tracts.

(48) The preposition from had better be changed in the following sentences. "He acquits me from mine iniquity." Job, better, of. "Could have profited from

[by]repeated experiences." Hume's History.

(49) From feems to be superfluous after forbear. He could not forbear from appointing the Pope to be

one of the godfathers." Ibid.

(50) The preposition among always implies a number of things: and, therefore, cannot be used in conjunction with the word every, which is in the singular number. "Which is found among every species of liberty." Hume,

(51) Sometimes the word all is emphatically put after

a number of particulars comprehended under it.

"Her fury, her despair, her every gesture, Was nature's language all."—Voltaire.
"Ambition, interest, glory, all concurred."

Let. on Chiv.

(53) The word fuch is often placed after a number of particulars to which it particularly relates. "The figures

of discourse, the pointed antithesis, the unnatural conceit, the jingle of words; /uch false ornaments were not

employed by early writers."—Hume's History.

The preposition of will not bear to be separated from the noun which it either proceeds or follows, without a disagreeable effect. "The ignorance of that age in mechanical arts, rendered the progress very flow, of this new invention."—Hume's Hiftory.

(55) Little explanatory circumstances are particularly aukward between a genitive case, and the word which usually follows it. "She began to extol the farmers, as the called him, excellent understanding." Harriot

Watfon.

(56) It is a matter of indifference, with respect to the pronoun one another, whether the preposition of be placed between the two parts of it, or before them both. We may either fay, they were jealous one of another, or they were jealous of one another.

### ELIPSIS.

Elipsis is the elegant omission of a word or words in a fentence:

This figure, judiciously managed, renders language concife, without obfcuring the fenfe.

## EXAMPLES.

True Construction.

t. God will reward the righteous and God will punish the wicked.

Nominative omitted.

God will reward the righteous and punish the wicked.

True Construction.

2. Give your heart to your Maker-give honor to your parents-and give your bosom to your friend.

Verb omitted.

Give your heart to your Maker—honor to your parents -and your bosom to your friend.

True

ende

H

T

T

T

out

follo

of th

rend

ear v

grea

nefs,

In

and.

daily

Word and

barra

ough

respe

liber

ted t

prefe

Ir

True Construction.

3. Here is the virtue which I admire and which I will endeavour to imitate.

Relative omitted.

Here is the virtue I admire and will endeavor to imitate.

TRANSPOSITION.

Transposition or inversion, is the placing of words out of their natural order.

The order of words is either natural or artificial.

The natural order of words in a fentence is when they follow each other in the same manner as the conceptions of the mind.

Artificial order is when words are so arranged as to render the sentence harmonious and agreeable to the ear without obscuring the sense.

# EXAMPLES in PROSE.

Natural Order.

"We hear daily complaints of depopulation, in every great state where the people are funk into voluptuous-ness, by prosperity and opulence."

Artificial Order.

In every great state, where the people, by prosperity and opulence, are sunk into voluptuousness, we hear

daily complaints of depopulation.

In the foregoing example, the artificial order of the words, is as perspicuous as the natural, and more elegant and harmonious. But when an inversion serves to embarrass a period, it ought to be avoided, for perspicuity ought not to be sacrificed to any other ornament.

The following example appears to be faulty in this

respect:

"Now from these evils, the love of letters, with that liberal cast of thought which they are naturally calculated to give, would, I am persuaded, be one powerful preservative."—Fordyce, Ser. 8.

Corrected.

Corrected.

I'm I am pursuaded that the love of letters, with that liberal cast of thought which they are naturally calculated to give, would be one powerful preservative from these evils.

## POETRY.

Inverted Order.

"Or fouthward far extend thy wond'ring eyes,
Where fertile streams the garden'd vales divide;
And mid the peopled fields distinguished rise
Virginian towers and Charleston's spiry pride."

Flegy on the Tire

Elegy on the Times.

ar

CI

tic

tw

do

fta

fle

la

lir

fh

ın

fla

1B

T

bl

OU

ab

by

lat

fo.

Natural Order.

Or extend thy wondering eyes far fouthward, where tertile streams divide the garden'd vales; and Virginian towers and Charleston's spiry pride rise distinguished amid the peopled fields.

ARRANGEMENT.

As the principal object to be considered in any composition whether profe or verse, is perspicuity, and as this depends much on a proper arrangement of the members of a period: it is necessary to lay down some general rules with respect to this point, and illustrate their propriety by examples of wrong arrangement.

mind, ought to be placed as near together as possible.

The want of fuch connexion is obvious in the follow-

ing examples.

"For the English are naturally, fanciful, and very often disposed, by that gloominess of temper which is so frequent in our nation, to many wild notions, and visions, to which others are not liable."

Spect. No. 419.

Corrected.

For the English are naturally fanciful, and by that gloomines

gloominess of temper which is so frequent in our nation, are very often disposed to many wild notions and visions to which others are not so liable.

"The same Lucumo, having afterwards attained the crown, with the name of Tarquin the ancient, by the favor of the people, did, that he might preserve their affection, choose out of their order a hundred Senators," &c.

"The fame Lucumo having afterwards, by the favor of the people, attained the crown, with the name of Tai-

quin the ancient, did," &c .- Vertot,

two capital members of a period; for this renders it doubtful, to which of the two members, the circumftance belongs. Witness the following example.

"Since this is too much to ask of freemen, nay of slaves, if his expectation be not answered, shall he form a lasting division upon such transient motives?"—Bo-

lingbroke.

S

re

i-

ed

n.

as

m-

e-

eir

he

W-

of-

re-

ns,

hat

Corrected, introduction

Since this is too much to alk of freemen, may of flaves, shall he, if his expectations be not answered, form a lasting division upon such transient motives?

In this example it is doubtful, whether the circumflance in Italic, belongs to the first or last member of the period: in the correction the ambiguity is removed.

3. A circumstance should be placed near the begining of a period, rather than at or near the conclusion. The mind passes with pleasure from small to great objects; but the transition from great to small is disagreeable. For this reason, the closing member of a period ought to be the most important.

In this respect the following examples are exception-

"And although they may be and too often are drawn,

"And although they may be and too often are drawn, by the temptations of youth and the opportunities of a large fortune, into fome irregularities, when they come forward into the world; it is ever with reluctance, and compunction

compunction of mind, because their bias to virtue contimies capacita the visa to belogte anim visa

Intelligencer. No. o.

th

P

OW

16

sh

th

pe

ity

de

an

3175

up

30

lea

wl

. Ita

- pr

1901

ar

-in

A

pa

th

- !! And although when they come forward into the world, they may be, and too often are drawn, by the temptations of youth, and the oppositunities of a large fortune, into forme irregularities; it is lover with heluctance and compunction of mind, because their bias to virtue continues," diw crown, with "seunitable algoritation

In this example, the circumstance in Italics, is placed too late in the period, and renders the first division of it, flat and unimportant; in the correction, the circumflance is placed in the beginning of the period, and its harmony and dignity are not afterwards interrupted or the

4. A number of circumstances ought not to be crowded together, but interspersed among the capital mem-

bers of a period that monded doub noch material partle

na Enliquio

Example.

ingoroke. " It is likewise urged that there are, by computation, in this kingdom, above 10,000 persons, whose reventhall he, if his expectations be not a . water 23. ", sour

ing divition upon fuch reached and activity

" It is likewise urged that, in this kingdom, there are, by computation, above 10,000 perfons, whose nevencented : in the correction the ambiguity is remoised ", agu

The two circumstances, by computation, and, in this hingdam, placed togethen, destroy the dearness and beau-The mind paffer with pleature from in.bbing sint forest

"They beheld, with wonder, at court, a young lady for intelligent, and who spoke the ancient languages with no 

"They beheld, with wonder, a young lady at court, who was fo intelligent and fpoke the ancient languages. with no loss purity that grace want demodification

Perhaps the best arrangement would be it With wonder they beheld," &cc. "In England we meet with the three Seymours, lifters, meces to a king and daughters

to a protector, all celebrated for their learning, and for their elegant Latin verses, which were tradilated and repeated all over Europe .- Jane Gray, whose elevation to the throne was only a step to the scassold, and who read, before her death, in Greek, Plato's dialogue on the im-

mortality of the foul."

One would imagine by the lituation of the two circumftances, before her death, and in Greek, that her death was in Greek: It ought to be, who, before her death, read in Greek, Scc. The ellipsis also in the beginning of the period, rather ferves to obscure the sense. "The three Seymours who were listers," &c. would be more perspicuous. Perhaps the greatest fault in Mr. Russel's tyle, is, a too frequent use of the ellipsis.

5. A pronoun ought to stand as near to its antecedent as possible. A wide separation of words so intimately connected, often renders the fense ambiguous.

"It is the cultom of the Mahometans, if they fee any printed or written paper upon the ground, to take it up and tay it afide carefully, as not knowing but it may contain some piece of their Alcoran." Spect. No. 85.

"It is the custom of the Mahometans, if they fee, upon the ground, any printed or written paper, to take

it up and lay it alide carefully," &c.

In this example, the construction of the fentence, leads us to imagine that the pronoun to refers to ground; whereas its antecedent is paper: And the nearer these fland to each other, the more eafily does the mind com-

prehend the meaning of the author.

-

₫

6. The members of a period ought if possible to be fo arranged, that the mind will eafily comprehend the meaning and the connexion as fast as the eye surveys the words. A suspension of thought, till the close of a period, is painful and embarrassing to the under standing. Witness the following,

Example.

they of this a She again, who should not perceive herself prompt-

ti

n

th

O

.fe

n

k

P

n

a

10

tı

ed to a prudent and amiable demeanor, or guarded against the contrary, by those pictures of discretion and excellence on one hand, and of levity and worthlessness on the other, with which sentimental and moral writers abound, must be absolutely void of decency and reflection."

Fordyce, Sermon 3d.

Corrected.

"She again must be absolutely void of decency and reflection, who should not perceive herself prompted to a prudent and amiable demeanor, or guarded against the contrary, by those pictures of discretion and excellence on one hand, and of levity and worthlessness on the other, with which sentimental and moral writers abound."

In this example, the first word she is intimately connected with the last member of the period, must be, &c. and it is a task too painful for the mind to retain the first word till it arrives at the close, and at the same time comprehend the meaning of the intervening ciacum-

Stances.

The arrangement in the correction renders the period fmooth and perfpicuous.

An elegant writer of the present day is guilty of the

fame fault, in the following example.

"The burning ardors and the tormenting jealousies of the Seraglio and the Haram, which have reigned so long in Asia and Africa, and which, in the southern parts of Europe, have scarcely given way to the difference of religion and civil establishments, are sound, however, with an abatement of heat in the climate, to be more easily changed, in one latitude, into a temporary passion which engrolles the mind, without enseebling it, and which excites to romantic atchievements," &c. Ferguson's Essay on the History of Civil Society, Part 3. Sect. 1.

Here the capital members of the period, viz. the burning ardors and the tormenting jealousies of the Seraglio and Haram, are found to be more easily changed into a temperary passion, &c. are separated at such a great distance, and disjointed by fuch a number of intervening circumstances, as to perplex the reader and fangue his mind by closely attending to the connexion of ideas.

It may also be remarked in general, that sentences ought not to close with adverbs, relatives, or participles. Little unimportant words; as, to, for, with, it, &c. close a period without force, and leave a feeble impression upon the mind. Important words, such as nouns, verbs, participles and adjectives, make the best figure in the conclusion of periods—they add dignity to the stile, and energy to the sentiment.

# PUNCTUATION.

Abridged from Dr. Lowth.

PUNCTUATION is the art of marking in writing the feveral paules or rests between sentences and the parts of sentences.

e

d

f

of of

г,

1-

n

1-

1-

0

As the feveral articulate founds, the fyllables and words, of which fentences confilt, are marked by letters, fo the paules, between fentences and their parts, are marked by points

marked by points.

The different degrees of connexion between the parts of fentences, require a great variety of paules of different lengths; yet, to express this variety, we use only four points. For this reason the doctrine of punctuation must necessarily be imperfect, and not reducible to precise rules.

But a few general remarks on this subject may be used in directing, the judgment of the leasurer

ful in directing the judgment of the learner.

The points used to mark the pauses between fentences and their several parts, are the period, colon, semicolon, and comma. The proportional quantity of time between these may be, as, six, sour, two and one.

tween these may be, as, six, sour, two and one.

The period is the whole sentence complete in itself, wanting nothing to make a sull and perfect sense, and not connected in construction with a subsequent sentence.

K 2

The

The colon or member, is a chief constructive part, or greater division of a sentence.

The femicolon or half member, is a less constructive

part or fubdivision of a sentence or member.

A fentence or member is again subdivided into commas or segments, which are the least constructive sense of a sentence or member, in this way of considering it; for the next subdivision would be the resolution of it into phrases and words.

In order the more clearly to determine the proper application of the point which marks it, we must distinguish between an impersect phrase, a simple sentence,

and a compound fentence.

An imperseet phrase contains no assertion, or does

not amount to a proposition or sentence.

A simple sentence has but one subject, and one si-

A compounded fentence has more than one subject, or one finite verb, either expressed or understood; or it confishs of two or more simple sentences connected to-

sether.

In a fentence, the subject and the verb may be each of them accompanied with several adjuncts; as the object, the end, the circumstance of time, place and manner, and the like: and this either immediately, or mediately; that is, by being connected with something which is connected with some other; and so on.

If the several adjuncts affect the subject or the verb in a different manner, they are only so many impersect

phrases; and the sentence is simple.

A simple sentence admits of no point by which it may

be divided, or offinguished into parts.

If the feveral adjuncts affect the verb in the same manner, they may be resolved into so many simple sentences; the sentence then becomes compounded, and it must be divided into its parts by points.

For if there are several subjects belonging in the same

manner

fa

ft

ſе

ad

pa

calil

10

te

ne

m

WI

na

ift

fo

ter

gu

in fer

WI

the

po

on

mu by manner to one verb, or several verbs belonging in the same manner to one subject, the subjects and verbs, are still to be accounted equal in number: for every verb must have its subject, and every subject its verb; and every one of the subjects or verbs, should or may have its point of distinction.

EXAMPLES.

"The passion for praise produces excellent effects in women of fense." Addison, Spect. No. 73. In this fentence paffion is the subject, and produces the verb: Each of which is accompanied and connected with its adjuncts. The subject is not passion in general, but a particular passion determined by its adjunct of specification, as we may call it, the passion for praise. So likewise the verb is immediately connected with its object excellent effects; and mediately, that is, by the intervention of the word effects, with women, the subject in which these effects are produced; which again is connected with its adjunct of specification, for it is not meant of women in general, but of women of fenfe only. Laffly, it is to be observed, that the verb is connected with each of the feveral adjuncts in a different manner; namely, with effects, as the object; with women, as the fubject of them; with fense, as the quality or characteristic of those women. The adjuncts therefore are only fo many imperfect phrases; the sentence is a simple sentence, and admits of no point by which it may be diftinguished into parts.

"The passion for praise, which is so very vehement in the sair sex, produces excellent effects in women of sense." Here a new verb is introduced, accompanied with adjuncts of its own; and the subject is repeated by the relative pronoun which. It now becomes a compounded sentence, made up of two simple sentences one of which is inserted in the middle of the other; it must therefore be distinguished into its component parts by a point placed on each side of the additional sentence.

" How

chafting, fidelity, devotion? How many ladies diffinguish themselves by the education of their children, care of their family, and love of their husbands; which are the great qualities and archievements of womankind; as the making of war, the carrying on of traffic, the administration of justice, are those by which men grow famous,

du

.

1111

CO

bu

ju

CC

bu

CE

re

to

an

W

he

Ti.

th

ar

Sil

0

fc

and get themfelves a name." \_\_ Ibid.

In the first of these two sentences, the adjuncts chassisty, fidelity, devotion, are connected with the verb by the word instances in the same manner, and in effect make so many distinct sentences: "How many instances have we of chastity? How many instances have we of fidelity? How many instances have we of devotion?" They must therefore be separated from one another by a point. The same may be said of the adjuncts, "education of their children," &c. in the former part of the next sentence; as likewise of the several subjects, "the making of war," &c. in the latter part, which have in effect each their verb; for each of these "is an atchievement by which men grow samous."

As fentences themselves are divided into simple and compounded, so the members of sentences may be divided into simple and compounded members: for whole fentences, whether compounded or uncompounded, may become members of other sentences, by means of some

additional connexion.

Simple members of fentences, closely connected together in one compounded member, or fentence, are distinguished or separated by a comma; as in the fore-

LIME A

going examples.

So likewise, the case absolute; nouns in apposition, when consisting of many terms: the participle with something depending on it, are to be distinguished by the comma; for they may be resolved into simple members.

When an address is made to a person, the noun, an-

fwering to the vocative cafe in Latin, is diffinguished by a comma.

Examples.

#### EXAMPLES.

"This faid, he formed thee, Adam; thee, O man, dust of the ground,"

"Now morn, her roly steps in th' eastern clime, Advancing, fow'd the earth with orient pearl."

f

h

f

e

s,

e e

e

if

1-

h y.

d

1-

le.

ly ie:

y-

re

e-

n, h

ie

17

and a some discon notations for health enter Milton: Two nouns, or two adjectives, connected by a fingle copulative or disjunctive, are not separated by a point; but when there are more than two, or where the conjunction is understood, they must be distinguished by a comma.

Simple members, connected by relatives and comparatives, are for the most part distinguished by a comma; but when the members are short in comparative sentences, and when two members are closely connected by a relative reltraining the general notion of the antecedent to a particular fense, the pause becomes almost insensible, and the comma is better omitted.

### EXAMPLES.

"Raptures, transports, and eoftacies, are the rewards which they confer; fighs and tears, prayers and broken hearts, are the offerings which are paid to them."

Addison, Ibid.

o od houses said as "Gods partial, changeful, paffionate, unjust, Whose attributes were rage, revenge or lust."

Pope.

"What is fweeter than honey? and what is stronger than a lion?"

an imperfect phrase, may be set off with a comma on each fide, to give it greater force and distinction.

EXAMPLE.

Dir Hancul "The principle may be defective or faulty; but the consequences it produces are so good, that, for the benefit of mankind, it ought not to be extinguished."-Addiben a leatened in to her perfectly styliched, all anol.

A member of a fentence, whether simple or compound-

ed, that requires a greater pause than a comma, yet does not of itself make a complete semence, but is followed by something closely depending on it, may be distinguished by a semicolon.

eq the Einvalmer the wol enion whe

"But as this passion for admiration, when it works according to reason, improves the beautiful part of our species in every thing that is laudable; so nothing is more destructive to them, when it is governed by vanity and solly." Addison, Ibid.

Here the whole fentence is divided into two parts by the femicalon; each of which parts is a compounded member, divided into its simple members by the comma.

A member of a fentence, whether simple or compounded, which of itself would make a complete sentence, and so requires a greater pause than a semicolon, yet is followed by an additional part, making a more sull and perfect sense, may be distinguished by a colon.

EXAMPLE.

Were all books reduced to their quintessence, many a bulky author would make his appearance in a penny paper: There would be force any such thing in nature as a folio: The works of an age would be contained on a few shelves: Not to mention millions of volumes, that would be utterly annihilated."

Addison, Spect. No. 124.

ref

the

tak

the

the

ly to

refr

rèqu

. 7

mer

fem

dera

com

OF ,

th

ar

I.

t,

ples.

ing w

verb.

Here the whole fentence is divided into four parts by colons: The first and last of which are compounded members, each divided by a comma; the second and third are simple members.

When a femicolon has preceded, and a greater paufe is still necessary, a colon may be employed, though the

fentence be incomplete.

The colon is also commonly used, when an example,

or a speech is introduced, of fon inguo it on some

When a fentence is fo far perfectly finished, as not to be connected in construction with the following sentence, it is marked with a period.

In all cases, the proportion of the several points in respect to one another, is rather to be regarded, than their supposed precise quantity, or proper office, when taken feparately.

Belide the points, which mark the paufes in difcourfe, there are others which denote a different modulation of the voice in correspondence with the fense. Theferare

The interrogation point, thus The exclamation point, marked The parenthelis,

The interrogation and exclamation points are fufficienly explained by their names: They are indeterminate as to their quantity or time, and may be equivalent, in that respect to a semicolon, a colon or a period, as the sonse requires. They mark an elevation of the voice.

. The parenthelis incloses, in the body of a sentence, a member inferted into it, which is neither necellary to the fenfe, nor at all affects the construction. It marks a moderate deprellion of the voice, with a paufe greater than a ditutes the language alode

comma.

7

1

.

3

d.

e n

S.

by ed

be

fe

he

le,

10

n-

Eigh

EXAMPLE

INITIVEMODE

Of the various combinations of a principal verb, with the auxiliaries and participles, in the different modes and tenfes, with a brief explanation of each.

The first person only is set down; the others may be supplied by the learner. es now talking, or at forme from

General Rules.

I. The auxiliary have is used before the participles in , t, & n, commonly called the past or perfect participles. Be is uled perfore all participles. The other helpng words are used only before the radical form of the verb. Thus clave been weiting.

Radical

Radical Form. Write.	Post Time.	Participles. writing—written.
I may	laguig not allumization	Mary from Said and
I can	I am	A service of the service of
I do	long sil was don't	writing.
io I must	I have been	Horefore others with
1 might >	write all have estable	
I could	It, is	Michael Handle Cr
I fhall	It was	written.
I will	It has been	Written.
Ishould	It will be	
I would	nod contempora pas	the me miercogalion
Tr The nat	time turne much make	Uzsili. Val. 124 Lionan

11. The past time wrote must not be preceded by any

helping word whatever.

III. When a helping verb precedes another verb, the helping verb only is varied; as thou mayest go.

IV. When two or more helping verbs are used, the

first only is varied; as thou wouldst have gone.

V. The radical form of the verb is that which admits before it the participle to, as to write, to love. This constitutes the Infinitive Mode.

## INFÍNITIVE MODE.

Prefent Time.

No. 1. To write; to love; to turn.

Explanation. This radical form of verbs expresses action or being in general, without limitation of person or number. and tendes, with a bipel, explanation of each.

No. 2. To be writing.

Expl. This form or combination represents an action as now passing, or at some specified time.

No. 3. To have written of a ylacumos in S. A.

Expl. This represents an action as past.

No. 4. To have been writing.

Expl

en

it fu

ex ha

to z

Expl. This expresses an action, as just past, or as passing while some other thing was performing.

The beginning of an action or preparation for it, is thus expressed; I am about to write; I am going to write; I was or shall be about to write. This combination is, the verb be with about or going placed before the radical form of the verb.

### INDICATIVE MODE.

Prefent Time.

No. 5. I write, Thou writest, &c.

Expl. This speaks of a present action or fact, as it rains; or of its existence in general without reference to time; as a man writes a good hand.

No. 6. I am writing.

This marks the precise time of action: It denotes an action now performing.

No. 7. I do write.

\$

nc

On

Expl. This speaks of an action with certainty and emphasis. See the explanation of do, page 19.

No. 8. I may write.

Expl. This expresses liberty or possibility. When it expresses possibility, it seems to carry the sense of the future time, at least it may be united with other words expressive of the future ; as, I may go to morrow perhaps, or I may not go till the next day.

No. 9. I can write.

Expl. This denotes the power of doing an action, Like may, it often refers to future time; as I can ge to morrow.

No. 10. I must write.

Expl. This denotes some kind of necessity, natural or moral. It is used to express an indespensable duty.

No. 11. I may be writing.

No. 12. I can be writing.

No. 13. I must be writing.

These differ from the foregoing, only in marking more precisely the time of action.

No. 14. I should write.

Expl. This denotes a conditional event; as I should write, if I had a conveyance. But should with an emphasis, in the first person, and without emphasis in the 2d and 3d persons, generally, perhaps always expresses duty or obligation. You should go is equivalent to you ought to go. When an emphasis is laid on should in the 2d and 3d persons, it implies an authority in the Speaker to command, or a fixed determination; or rather it supposes that if the speaker had a right to command, he would compel the second or third person to person an act. If I had the care of you, you should go.

te

fp

pe

or

fon

par

by m

our

paft-

then expr

1

No. 15. I would write.

Expl. This expresses will or inclination under a condition. I would write, if I had paper. With an emphasis on would, it denotes a more fixed determination.

No. 16. I might write.

Expl. This usually denotes a conditional liberty of possibility of doing an action.

No. 17. I could write.

Expl. This denotes a conditional power of doing an action.

Note. The words would, might, could and sometimes should are followed by a condition expressed or implied I would write, if I had a conveyance; I might go, it I pleased. I could go, if I was well.

No. 18. I should be writing

No. 19. I would be writing

No. 20. I might be writing

No. 21. I could be writing

Paft Time.

No. 22. I wrote. I turned.

Expl. This expresses an action completely past, and refers to some particular or specified period of time at any indefinite distance: as I wrote last week; or I wrote to a man, five year's ago.

No. 23. I was writing.

d

1-

d

to

d

n-

es

ld

n-

O

aff

nes

ed

it !

Expl. This declares the time of action, and usually speaks of an action which was passing during some other transaction; as I was writing, when he came in.

No. 24. I did write.

Expl. This refers, like No. 22, to fome particular period of time past; but did is used to express emphasis or certainty. See the uses of do, page 19.

No. 25. I have written.

Expl. This denotes an action perfectly past, and sometimes as lately past, but is very indefinite as to the particular time.\*

No. 26. I have been writing.

Expl. This denotes an action just past.

No. 27.

Nothing can be less correct than the distinction usually made between I wrote and I have written. Wrote, say our Grammars, denotes an action not completely or perfectly past—have written, an action perfectly past. I would ask then whether I wrote & sent a letter a year ago, does not express an action perfectly past? The true distinction is given in the text.

No. 27. I may have written.

Expl. This denotes a possibility that an action has been done.

No. 28. I may have been writing.

Expl. This expresses a possibility that an action has just been done.

No. 29. I must have written.

Expl. This expresses the necessity of an action past: or more generally, the speaker's confidence that an action has been done.

No. 30. I mult have been writing.

Expl. This denotes a similar necessity, or confidence that something has just been done or doing.

No. 31. I might write

No. 32. I might be writing

No. 33. I could write

No. 34. I could be writing

No. 35. I would write

No. 36. I would be writing

No. 37. I should write

No. 38. I should be writing.

These are the same combinations, as those in the present tense; see No. 14 and onwards. But they are rarely used in past time, except in negative and interrogative phrases. Yet on account of such phrases, they are set down under this tense.

di

fi

tı

No. 39. I might have written.

Expl. This expresses a pass liberty or possibility of doing an action. Might, with emphasis, expresses tiberty or right; without emphasis, a bare possibility.

No. 40. I might have been writing.

Expl. This donotes the liberty or possibility of a man's doing an action during some other transaction.

No. 41. I could have written.

Expl.

Expl. This expresses a past power of doing an action.

No. 42. I could have been writing.

Expl. This expresses a past power of doing an action, during some other transaction.

No. 43. I would have written.

15

15

e

.

i

.

1

Expl. This denotes a past conditional intention or inclination to do and complete an action. An emphasis on would gives it the force of a fixed determination.

No. 44. I would have been writing.

Expl. This denotes a past intention to be doing an action during some other transaction.

No. 45. I should have written.

Expl. This denotes an intention or obligation to have done an action in time past. See. No. 14, for the full explanation of should.

No. 46. I should have been writing,

Expl. Should may be explained as in No 14, but this combination refers to an action during some other transaction.

No. 47. I had written.

Expl. This expresses that at some particular time past, an action was then past and complete.

No. 48. I had been writing.

\* Expl. This denotes that an action was just done, when fomething else took place.

Future Time.

No. 49. I will write, is a promise that an action shall be done.

Thou wilt or you will He will write.

foretell an event.

We

Ye and you will
They will write.

They will write.

They will write.

No. 50. I will be writing promifes doing, while fome-thing elfe is taking the will be writing. I foretell place.

We will be writing promifes as above

No. 51. I shall write these foretell action
Thou shall, you shall mand and he shall write mand

Ye and you shall promise promise

future

0

0

I

n

7

U

No. 52. I fhall
We shall
You shall
He shall
Ye shall
They shall

No. 52. I will have promise that at a future time, an action shall be written -We will have complete-- not much written ufed. You These foretell that He will have at a future time, an Ye written Caction will be done Jand complete. They

No. 54. I will have been writing Not used.
You

You will
He will
Ye will
They will
They will

You will
have been at a specified time, an action will have continued & past.

No. 55. I shall have foretell that at a future time, an action will be finished.

You
He Ye fhall have command the same,
Ye written but not much used.

They

No. 36. I shall been will have just been with done, when something less shall have been writing.

You He Shall have been writing, command the same, but not used.

They

### IMPERATIVE MODE.

No. 57. Write, or write thou, or write you.

Note. After if expressed or implied, English verbs in the form of the past time, have the sense of the present; but with this peculiarity, that the verb, without any word of negation, always implies a negative, and with a word of negation, always implies an affirmative. Thus, "if I had Virgil, I would read a passage," implies that I have not Virgil at the present time; "if it did not rain, I would go abroad," implies that it does rain at this time. This use of our verbs seems not to have been remarked by writers on this subject.

Combinations of the auxiliary be with other auxiliary verbs and participles, answering to the passive verb of

the Greek and Latin.

h

at

ne

## INDICATIVE MODE.

Prefent Time. No. 58. I am loved. We are loved. Thou art loved. Ye are loved. You are loved. You are loved. He is loved and They are loved. MUSC HING, AR STROM

bothed of the Paft Time.

No. 59. I was loved. No. 60. I have been loved. No. 61. I had been loved.

Future Time.

No. 62. I shall be loved. No. 63. I will be loved. No. 64. I shall have been loved.

No. 65. I will have been loved.

The following combinations are not represented by the Latin Paffive verb, but have a paffive fense.

Prefent Time. No. 66. I may be loved.

No. 67. I can be loved:

No. 68. I must be loved.

No. 69. I would be loved.

No. 70. I should be loved.

No. 71. I could be loved. No. 72. I might be loved.

The state of the s

Past Time.

I must have been loved, No. 73. I Would have been loved. No: 74.

No. 75 I should have been loved.

No. 76. I could have been loved.

No. 77. I might have been loved.

deresting der un whee where

m

tun

ım

fta

fhi

any the

pra

Practical Leffons,

In making English; the verb being set down in the radical form, and the figures referring to the tense or combination in the foregoing Example, in which the

verb is to be formed by the learner.

1. I cherish 47 some aversion to names grown trite by repetition, and, on that account, evade 47 the ancient republics. But I find the observation just, that "half our learning is their epitaph." I conceive that the "moss grown" columns and broken arches of those once renowned empires are full of instruction, as were the groves of Lyceum or the school of Plato.

2. Let Greece then be the subject of a moment's reflection. When liberty slee 22 from the gloom of Egypt, she seek 22 out and settle 22 at infant Greece—there disfeminate 22 the seeds of greatness—there lay 22 the ground-work of republican glory. Simplicity of manners, piety to the gods, generosity and courage were herearliest character. "Human nature shoot 22 wild and free."

3. Penetrated with a spirit of industry, her sons scarcely know 22 relaxation; even their sports were heroic. Hence that elevated, independent soul, that contempt of danger, that laudable biass to their country and its manners. Upon the banks of Eurota slourish 22 her principal state. Frugality of living and an avarice of time were among the riches of Lacedæmon. Her maxims draw 59 from nature, and one was, "that nothing which bear 22 the name of Greek bear 59 for slavery."

4. From this idea flow 22 an affiltance to her fifter flates. From a like idea in her fifter flates, that friend-flip return 59 in grateful measure. This, if it continue 47, form 43 the link of empire, the charm that unite 43 and make 43. Greece invulnerable. While it last 22, the joint efforts of her states render 22 her a name and a praise thro the whole earth. And here, was it not for the sake of a lesson to my country. I not only drop 15 my eulogium of Greece, but draw 15 an impervious veil over her remaining history.

5. The

5. The tenfold luster of Greece, at this day blaze 39 to heaven, if the union of her states hold 61 more faced. But that union of her states, that cement of her existence, once impaired, the sury of civil discord blow 5 her accursed clarion. Those states which lately stand 22 in mighty concert, invincible, now breathe mutual lealously and fall piece-meal a prey to the common enemy. Attic wisdom, Theban hardihood, Spartan valor not combine 35 to save her.

6. That very army, which Greece breed 47 and nourish 47 to reduce the oriental pride turn 58 vulture upon her own vitals—a parricide, the faction of a tyrant. Behold the great, the God-like Greece, with all her battlements and tower about her, borne headlong from her

giddy height—the shame, the pity of the world !

7. In a free government, every citizen is a foldier. When his liberty invade 58, he refent 5 the violence, as an attack on his life. Hence in free states, there is no such thing as a perpetual standing army. Mercenary troops are the instruments of tyranny, and sooner or later entail 10 misery and servitude on the nations where

they employ 58.

8. On the other hand, behold a brave yeomanry, all finew and foul, who, having marched forth and defended their families and alters, return 6 in peace to till the fields their own arms refere 25. Such were the troops, who, led on by the patriot Warren, give 22 the first homeblow to our enemies. Such were the troops, who, fired by Gates in the northern woods, almost decide 22 the fate of nations.

9. Such were the troops, who, under the great and amiable Lincoln, sustain 22 a siege in circumstances that rank him and them with the captains and soldiers of antiquity. Such, we trust, were the troops, who, headed indeed by the gallant and judicious Morgan, vanquishes a chosen veteran band, long dedicated to Mars and disciplined in blood. And such we doubt not, were the

troops who drive 22 the British legions from the Jersies, and ever since preserve 25 their own country, under the conduct of that superior man who combine 5 in quality the unshaken constancy of Cato, the triumphant delay of Fabius, and on occasion, the enterprizing spirit of Hannibal.

10. Let justice be done to our country—let justice be done to our great Leader; and, as the only means under heaven of our falvation, let his army be reinforced. This grand duty over, we once more adopt 49 an enthusiasm, sublime in itself, but still more so as coming from the lips of a first patriot: I have a most animating considence that the present noble struggle for liberty terminate 49 gloriously for America.

Extracts from an Oration delivered at Boston, March

5, 1781, by the Hon. Thomas Dawes junr. Efq.

END of the SECOND PART.

